arXiv:1209.4114v1 [math.CT] 18 Sep 2012

Generalized Monads and Comonads (Applications to Semirings and Semicorings)

Jawad Abuhlail[*]
Department of Mathematics and Statistics
Box 5046, KFUPM, 31261 Dhahran, KSA
abuhlail@kfupm.edu.sa

September 20, 2012

Abstract

The category ${}_A\mathbb{S}_A$ of bisemimodules over a semialgebra A, with the so called *Takahashi's tensor product* $-\boxtimes_A -$, is semimonoidal but *not* monoidal. Although not a unit in ${}_A\mathbb{S}_A$, the base semialgebra A has properties of a *semi-unit* (in a sense which we clarify in this note). Motivated by this interesting example, we introduce a notion of a *semi-unit* $\mathbf{I}$ in an arbitrary *semimonoidal category* $(\mathcal{V},\bullet)$ and call a category with such a distinguished object *generalized monoidal.* The advantage of this is that it provides us with a framework for studying notions like *generalized monoids* (*generalized comonoids*) as well as *generalized monads* (*generalized comonads*) w.r.t. the endo-functor $\mathbb{J} := \mathbf{I}\bullet - \simeq - \bullet \mathbf{I} : \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}$. Applications to the generalized monoidal variety $({}_A\mathbb{S}_A, \boxtimes_A, A)$ provide us with examples of generalized A-semirings and generalized semimodules (generalized A-semicorings and generalized semicomodules) which extend the classical notions of rings and modules (corings and comodules).

1 Introduction

A *semiring* is, roughly speaking, a ring not necessarily with subtraction. The first natural example of a semiring is the set $\mathbb{N}_0$ of non-negative integers. Other examples include the set Ideal(R) of (two-sided) ideals of any associative ring R and distributive bounded lattices. A *semimodule* is, roughly speaking, a module not necessarily with subtraction. The category or Abelian groups is nothing but the category of modules over $\mathbb{Z}$. Similarly, the category of commutative monoids is nothing but the category of semimodules over $\mathbb{N}_0$.

---

[*] The author would like to acknowledge the support provided by the Deanship of Scientific Research (DSR) at King Fahd University of Petroleum & Minerals (KFUPM) for funding this work through project No. IN100008.

Semirings were studied by many algebraists beginning with Dedekind [Ded1894] and were popularized by H. S. Vandiver (e.g. [Van1934]). Since the sixties of the last century, they were shown to have significant applications in several areas as Automata Theory, Optimization Theory, Tropical Geometry and Idempotent Analysis (for more, see the monographs [Gol1999a], [Gol1999b], [Gol2003], [HW1998], [KS1986], [LMS1999]). Recently, Durov [Dur2007] demonstrated that semirings are in one-to-one correspondence with the so-called *algebraic additive monads* on the category **Set** of sets. Moreover, a connection between semirings and the so-called $\mathbb{F}$-rings, where $\mathbb{F}$ is the field with one element, was pointed out in [PL2011, 1.3 – 1.4]. The theory of semimodules over semirings was developed among others by many authors including Takahashi (*e.g.* [Tak1981], [Tak1982a]), Patchkoria (*e.g.* [Pat2006], [Pat2009]) and Katsov (*e.g.* [Kat2004], [KN2011]).

A strong connection between corings over an associative ring A (coalgebras in the monoidal category ${}_A\mathbf{Mod}_A$ of bimodules over A) and their comodules on one side and comonads induced by the tensor product $-\otimes_A-$ and their comodules on the other side has been realized by several authors (*e.g.* [CMZ2002], [BW2003], [G-T2006]). Moreover, the theory of monads and comonads in (autonomous) monoidal categories received increasing attention in the last decade (*e.g.* [Moe2002], [BV2007], [Mes2008]). Extensions to arbitrary categories were carried out in several recent papers (*e.g.* [Wis2008-b], [BBW2009], [MW2010]).

Using the so called Takahashi's tensor product $-\boxtimes_A-$ of semimodules over an associative semiring A [Tak1982a], notions of *semicorings* and *semicomodules* over A were introduced by the author in a talk [Abu2008]. However, such semicorings could not be realized as comonoids (coalgebras) in the category ${}_A\mathbb{S}_A$ of (A,A)-bisemimodules since this category $({}_A\mathbb{S}_A,\boxtimes_A,A)$ is *not* monoidal[1]. Motivated by the desire to establish a connection between semicomodules for semicorings and comodules for comonads induced by $-\boxtimes_A-$, we introduce a notion of *generalized monads* (*comonads*) and apply them to ${}_A\mathbb{S}_A$. Moreover, we introduce notions of *generalized monoidal categories* with prototype $({}_A\mathbb{S}_A,\boxtimes,A)$. In such categories, we introduce and investigate *generalized monoids* (*comonoids*) and their categories of generalized modules (comodules). In particular, we realize generalized A-semirings (generalized A-semicorings) as generalized monoids (comonoids) in ${}_A\mathbb{S}_A$. Our results extend corresponding ones in some of the above mentioned references to the generalized monoidal categories. *Generalized bimonads* and *generalized Hopf monads*, which extend bimonads and Hopf monads (*e.g.* [BV2007], [CLS2010], [MW2010]), as well as *generalized bimonoids* (*generalized bialgebras*) and *generalized Hopf monads* (*generalized Hopf algebras*) in generalized monoidal categories are the subject of a forthcoming paper which is currently under preparation.

---

[1] An alternative tensor product $-\otimes_A-$ was recalled by Katsov in [Kat2004] so that $({}_A\mathbb{S}_A,\otimes_A,A)$ is monoidal.

2 Generalized (Co)Monads

Recall first the so called *Godement product* (*e.g.* [God1958]) of natural transformations between functors:

2.1. ([BS2000]) Let $\mathfrak{A}, \mathfrak{B}, \mathfrak{C}$ be any categories. Any natural transformations $\psi : F \longrightarrow G$ and $\phi : F' \longrightarrow G'$ of functors $\mathfrak{A} \overset{F,G}{\longrightarrow} \mathfrak{B} \overset{F',G'}{\longrightarrow} \mathfrak{C}$ can be multiplied using the *Godement product* to yield a natural transformation $\phi\psi : F'F \longrightarrow G'G$, where

$$\phi_{G(X)} \circ F'(\psi_X) = (\phi\psi)_X = G'(\psi_X) \circ \phi_{F(X)} \text{ for every } X \in \mathfrak{A}. \tag{1}$$

Moreover, if $\mathfrak{A} \overset{H}{\longrightarrow} \mathfrak{B} \overset{H'}{\longrightarrow} \mathfrak{C}$ are functors and $\delta : G \longrightarrow H$, $\theta : G' \longrightarrow H'$ are natural transformations, then the following *interchange law* holds

$$(\delta \circ \psi)(\theta \circ \phi) = (\theta\delta) \circ (\phi\psi). \tag{2}$$

2.2. Let $\mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathfrak{B}$ be categories, $\mathbf{L} : \mathfrak{A} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{B}$, $\mathbf{R} : \mathfrak{B} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ be functors and $\mathbb{J} : \mathfrak{A} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}$, $\mathbb{K} : \mathfrak{B} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ be endo-functors such that $\mathbf{R}\mathbb{K} \simeq \mathbb{J}\mathbf{R}$ and $\mathbf{L}\mathbb{J} \simeq \mathbb{K}\mathbf{L}$. We say that $(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{R})$ is a $(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{K})$-*adjoint pair* iff we have natural isomorphisms in $X \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{B}$:

$$\mathrm{Mor}_{\mathfrak{B}}(\mathbf{L}\mathbb{J}(X), \mathbb{K}(Y)) \simeq \mathrm{Mor}_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathbb{J}(X), \mathbf{R}\mathbb{K}(Y)).$$

For the special case $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{A}} = \mathbb{K}$, we recover the classical notion of adjoint pairs.

Remark 2.3. Let $(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{R})$ be a $(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{K})$-*adjoint pair* and consider $\widetilde{L} := L_{|_{\mathbb{J}(\mathfrak{A})}}$ and $\widetilde{R} := R_{|_{\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{B})}}$. Then $(\widetilde{L}, \widetilde{R})$ is an adjoint pair with unit and counit of adjunction given by

$$\eta : \mathbb{J} \longrightarrow \mathbf{RL}\mathbb{J} \text{ and } \varepsilon : \mathbf{LR}\mathbb{K} \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}.$$

Moreover, one checks easily that $\mathbb{M} := \mathbf{R}\mathbb{K}\mathbf{L}$ is a $\mathbb{J}$-monad and $\mathbb{C} := \mathbf{L}\mathbb{J}\mathbf{R}$ is a $\mathbb{K}$-comonad.

Generalized (Co)Monadic Categories

Throughout this section, $\mathfrak{A}$ is an arbitrary category.

2.4. Let $\mathbf{T} : \mathfrak{A} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ be an endo-functor. An object $X \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathfrak{A})$ is said to have a $\mathbf{T}$-*action* or to be a $\mathbf{T}$-*act* iff there is a morphism $\varrho_X : \mathbf{T}(X) \longrightarrow X$ in $\mathfrak{A}$. For two objects X, X' with $\mathbf{T}$-actions, we say that a morphism $\varphi : X \longrightarrow X'$ in $\mathfrak{A}$ is a *morphism of* $\mathbf{T}$-*acts* iff the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{T}(X) \arrow[r, "\varrho_X"] \arrow[d, "\mathbf{T}(\varphi)"'] & X \arrow[d, "\varphi"] \\
\mathbf{T}(X') \arrow[r, "\varrho_{X'}"'] & X'
\end{tikzcd}$$

The *category of* $\mathbf{T}$-*acts* is denoted by $\mathbf{Act}_{\mathbf{T}}$.

2.5. Let $\mathbf{T} : \mathfrak{A} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ be an endo-functors. An object $X \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathfrak{A})$ is said to have a $\mathbf{T}$-*coaction* or to be a $\mathbf{T}$-*coact* iff there is a morphism $\varrho^X : X \longrightarrow \mathbf{T}(X)$ in $\mathfrak{A}$. For two objects X, X' with $\mathbf{T}$-coactions, we say that a morphism $\varphi : X \longrightarrow X'$ in $\mathfrak{A}$ is a *morphism of* $\mathbf{T}$-*coacts* iff the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, "\varrho^X"] \arrow[d, "\varphi"'] & \mathbf{T}(X) \arrow[d, "\mathbf{T}(\varphi)"] \\
X' \arrow[r, "\varrho^{X'}"'] & \mathbf{T}(X')
\end{tikzcd}$$

The *category of* $\mathbf{T}$-*coacts* is denoted by $\mathbf{Coact}^{\mathbf{T}}$.

Example 2.6. The objects of $\mathbf{Coact}^{F}$, where $F : \mathbf{Set} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ is any endo-functor, play an important role in logic and theoretical computer science. They are called *F-systems*[2] (e.g. [Rut2000]). For an F-system, the set A is called the *carrier* (or set of *states*) of F_A and the map $F_A : A \longrightarrow A$ is called the *transition structure* (or *dynamics*) of the system.

Generalized Monads

2.7. Let $\mathbb{J} : \mathfrak{A} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ be an endo-functor. With a $\mathbb{J}$-*monad* on $\mathfrak{A}$ we mean a datum $(\mathbb{M}, \mu, \omega, \nu; \mathbb{J})$ consisting of an endo-functor $\mathbb{M} : \mathfrak{A} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ associated with natural transformations

$$\mu : \mathbb{MM} \longrightarrow \mathbb{M},\ \ \omega : \mathbb{I} \longrightarrow \mathbb{J} \text{ and } \nu : \mathbb{J} \longrightarrow \mathbb{M}$$

such that the following diagrams are commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{MMM} \arrow[r, "\mathbb{M}\mu"] \arrow[d, "\mu\mathbb{M}"'] & \mathbb{MM} \arrow[d, "\mu"] \\
\mathbb{MM} \arrow[r, "\mu"'] & \mathbb{M}
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{MM} \arrow[r, "\mu"] & \mathbb{IM} \arrow[d, "\omega\mathbb{M}"] \\
\mathbb{JM} \arrow[u, "\nu\mathbb{M}"] \arrow[r, equal] & \mathbb{JM}
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{MM} \arrow[r, "\mu"] & \mathbb{MI} \arrow[d, "\mathbb{M}\omega"] \\
\mathbb{MJ} \arrow[u, "\mathbb{M}\nu"] \arrow[r, equal] & \mathbb{MJ}
\end{tikzcd}$$

i.e. for every $X \in \mathfrak{A}$ we have

$$\mu_X \circ \mathbb{M}(\mu_X) = \mu_X \circ \mu_{\mathbb{M}(X)},\ \ \nu_{\mathbb{M}(X)} \circ \omega_{\mathbb{M}(X)} \circ \mu_X = \mathbb{I}_{\mathbb{MM}(X)} \text{ and } \mathbb{M}(\nu_X) \circ \mathbb{M}(\omega_X) \circ \mu_X = \mathbf{I}_{\mathbb{MM}(X)}.$$

Definition 2.8. We say that an endo-functor $\mathbb{M} : \mathfrak{A} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ is a *generalized monad* iff there exists an endo-functor $\mathbb{J} : \mathfrak{A} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ such that $\mathbb{M}$ is a $\mathbb{J}$-monad.

---

[2] Some references call these *F-coalgebras* (e.g. [AP2001], [Gum1999], [GS2001]). For us, coalgebras are always coassociative and counital.

2.9. A *morphism of generalized monads* $(\varphi;\xi) : (\mathbb{M},\mu,\omega,\nu;\mathbb{J}) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{M}',\mu',\omega',\nu';\mathbb{J}')$ on $\mathfrak{A}$ consists of natural transformations $\varphi : \mathbb{M} \longrightarrow \mathbb{M}'$ and $\xi : \mathbb{J} \longrightarrow \mathbb{J}'$ such that the following diagrams are commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{M}\mathbb{M} \arrow[r, "\mu"] \arrow[d, "\varphi\varphi"'] & \mathbb{M} \arrow[d, "\varphi"] \\
\mathbb{M}'\mathbb{M}' \arrow[r, "\mu'"'] & \mathbb{M}'
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{J} \arrow[r, "\nu"] \arrow[d, "\xi"'] & \mathbb{M} \arrow[d, "\varphi"] \\
\mathbb{J}' \arrow[r, "\nu'"'] & \mathbb{M}'
\end{tikzcd}$$

i.e. for every $X \in \mathfrak{A}$ we have

$$\varphi_X \circ \mu_X = \mu'_X \circ \varphi_{\mathbb{M}'(X)} \circ \mathbb{M}(\varphi_X) \text{ and } \varphi_X \circ \nu_X = \nu'_X \circ \xi_X.$$

The category of generalized monads on $\mathfrak{A}$ is denoted by $\mathbf{GMonad}_{\mathfrak{A}}$. For each endo-functor $\mathbb{J} : \mathfrak{A} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}$, we denote by $\mathbb{J}$-$\mathbf{Monad}_{\mathfrak{A}}$ the subcategory of $\mathbb{J}$-monads on $\mathfrak{A}$ with ξ the identity natural transformation. In the special case $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{A}}$ and ω is the identity natural transformation, we drop the prefix and recover the notion of *monads*[3] on $\mathfrak{A}$.

2.10. Let $(\mathbb{M},\mu,\omega,\nu;\mathbb{J})$ be a $\mathbb{J}$-monad on $\mathfrak{A}$. An $(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{J})$*-module* is an object $X \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathfrak{A})$ with a morphism $\varrho_X : \mathbb{M}(X) \longrightarrow X$ such that the following diagrams are commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{M}\mathbb{M}(X) \arrow[r, "\mathbb{M}(\varrho_X)"] \arrow[d, "\mu_X"'] & \mathbb{M}(X) \arrow[d, "\varrho_X"] \\
\mathbb{M}(X) \arrow[r, "\varrho_X"'] & X
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{M}(X) \arrow[r, "\varrho_X"] & X \arrow[d, "\omega_X"] \\
\mathbb{J}(X) \arrow[u, "\nu_X"] \arrow[r, equal] & \mathbb{J}(X)
\end{tikzcd}$$

The category of $(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{J})$-modules and morphisms those of $\mathbb{M}$-acts is denoted by $\mathfrak{A}_{(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{J})}$. In case $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{A}}$ and ξ is the identity natural transformation, we have the category of $\mathbb{M}$-*modules*[4].

2.11. Let $(\mathbb{M},\mu,\omega,\nu;\mathbb{J})$ be a generalized monad on $\mathfrak{A}$. For every $X \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathbb{A})$, $\mathbb{M}(X)$ is an $(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{J})$-module through

$$\varrho_{\mathbb{M}(X)} : \mathbb{M}(\mathbb{M}(X)) \xrightarrow{\mu_X} \mathbb{M}(X).$$

Such modules are called *free* $(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{J})$*-modules* and we have the so called *free functor*

$$\mathcal{F}_{(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{J})} : \mathfrak{A} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}_{(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{J})},\ X \mapsto \mathbb{M}(X).$$

The full subcategory of free $(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{J})$-modules is called the *Kleisli category* and is denoted by $\widetilde{\mathfrak{A}}_{(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{J})}$.

---

[3] Monads were studied in classical category theory under various names (e.g. *triples*, *triads*, *standard constructions* and *fundamental constructions* [BW2003]).

[4] Some references call these $\mathbb{M}$-*algebras* (*e.g.* [Bec2003]).

Example 2.12. Let $(\mathbb{M}, \mu, \omega, \nu; \mathbb{J})$ be a generalized monad on $\mathfrak{A}$ with $\mathbb{M}\mathbb{J} \simeq \mathbb{J}\mathbb{M}$. If X is an $(\mathbb{M}; \mathbb{J})$-module, then $\mathbb{J}(X)$ is also an $(\mathbb{M}; \mathbb{J})$-module through

$$\varrho_{\mathbb{J}(X)} : \mathbb{M}\mathbb{J}(X) \simeq \mathbb{J}\mathbb{M}(X) \overset{\mathbb{J}(\varrho_X)}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{J}(X).$$

Moreover, if $Y = \mathbb{M}(X)$ is a free $(\mathbb{M}; \mathbb{J})$-module, then $\mathbb{J}(Y) = \mathbb{J}\mathbb{M}(X) \simeq \mathbb{M}\mathbb{J}(X)$ is also a free $(\mathbb{M}; \mathbb{J})$-module.

2.13. Let $(\mathbb{M}, \mu, \omega, \nu; \mathbb{J})$ be a generalized monad. If $\mathbb{M}\mathbb{J} \simeq \mathbb{J}\mathbb{M}$, then we have a natural isomorphism for every $X \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{A}_{(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{J})}$:

$$\mathrm{Mor}_{\mathfrak{A}_{(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{J})}}(\mathcal{F}_{(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{J})}(X), \mathbb{J}(Y)) \simeq \mathrm{Mor}_{\mathfrak{A}}(X, \mathbb{J}(Y)),\ f \mapsto f \circ (\nu \circ \omega)_X$$

with inverse $g \longmapsto \varrho_{\mathbb{J}(Y)} \circ \mathcal{F}_{(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{J})}(g)$. In particular, we have a natural isomorphism

$$\mathrm{Mor}_{\mathfrak{A}_{(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{J})}}(\mathcal{F}_{(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{J})}(\mathbb{J}(X)), \mathbb{J}(Y)) \simeq \mathrm{Mor}_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathbb{J}(X), \mathbb{J}(Y));$$

i.e. $(\mathcal{F}_{(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{J})}(-), \mathbb{I})$ is a $(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{J})$-adjoint pair. Consequently, $\mathbb{J}(\mathfrak{A}_{(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{J})}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ and $\mathbb{J}(\mathfrak{A}_{(\mathbb{M};\mathbb{J})}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{J}(\mathfrak{A})$ are reflective subcategories.

Generalized Comonads

2.14. Let $\mathbb{J}$ be an endo-functor on $\mathfrak{A}$. With a $\mathbb{J}$-*comonad* on $\mathfrak{A}$ we mean a datum $(\mathbb{C}, \boldsymbol{\Delta}, \omega, \theta)$ consisting of an endo-functor $\mathbb{C} : \mathfrak{A} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ associated with natural transformations

$$\boldsymbol{\Delta} : \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}\mathbb{C},\ \omega : \mathbb{I} \longrightarrow \mathbb{J} \text{ and } \theta : \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{J}$$

such that the following diagrams are commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{C} \arrow[r, "\boldsymbol{\Delta}"] \arrow[d, "\boldsymbol{\Delta}"'] & \mathbb{C}\mathbb{C} \arrow[d, "\boldsymbol{\Delta}\mathbb{C}"] & \mathbb{I}\mathbb{C} \arrow[r, "\boldsymbol{\Delta}"] \arrow[d, "\omega\mathbb{C}"'] & \mathbb{C}\mathbb{C} \arrow[d, "\theta\mathbb{C}"] & \mathbb{C}\mathbb{I} \arrow[r, "\boldsymbol{\Delta}"] \arrow[d, "\mathbb{C}\omega"'] & \mathbb{C}\mathbb{C} \arrow[d, "\mathbb{C}\theta"] \\
\mathbb{C}\mathbb{C} \arrow[r, "\mathbb{C}\boldsymbol{\Delta}"'] & \mathbb{C}\mathbb{C}\mathbb{C} & \mathbb{J}\mathbb{C} \arrow[r, equal] & \mathbb{J}\mathbb{C} & \mathbb{C}\mathbb{J} \arrow[r, equal] & \mathbb{C}\mathbb{J}
\end{tikzcd}$$

i.e. for every $X \in \mathfrak{A}$ we have

$$\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\mathbb{C}(X)} \circ \boldsymbol{\Delta}_X = \mathbb{C}(\boldsymbol{\Delta}_X) \circ \boldsymbol{\Delta}_X,\ \theta_{\mathbb{C}(X)} \circ \boldsymbol{\Delta}_X = \omega_{\mathbb{C}(X)} \text{ and } \mathbb{C}(\theta_X) \circ \boldsymbol{\Delta}_X = \mathbb{C}(\omega_X).$$

Definition 2.15. We say that an endo-functor $\mathbb{C} : \mathfrak{A} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ is a *generalized comonad* iff there exists an endo-functor $\mathbb{J} : \mathfrak{A} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ such that $\mathbb{C}$ is a $\mathbb{J}$-comonad.

2.16. A *morphism of generalized comonads* $(\psi;\xi) : (\mathbb{C},\boldsymbol{\Delta},\omega,\theta;\mathbb{J}) \longrightarrow (\mathbb{C}',\boldsymbol{\Delta}',\omega',\theta';\mathbb{J}')$ on $\mathfrak{A}$ consists of natural transformations $\psi : \mathbb{C} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}'$ and $\xi : \mathbb{J} \longrightarrow \mathbb{J}'$ such that the following diagrams are commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{C} \arrow[r, "\boldsymbol{\Delta}"] \arrow[d, "\psi"'] & \mathbb{C}\mathbb{C} \arrow[d, "\psi\psi"] \\
\mathbb{C}' \arrow[r, "\boldsymbol{\Delta}'"'] & \mathbb{C}'\mathbb{C}'
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbb{C} \arrow[r, "\theta"] \arrow[d, "\psi"'] & \mathbb{J} \arrow[d, "\xi"] \\
\mathbb{C}' \arrow[r, "\theta'"'] & \mathbb{J}'
\end{tikzcd}$$

i.e. for every $X \in \mathfrak{A}$ we have

$$\psi_{\mathbb{C}'(X)} \circ \mathbb{C}(\psi_X) \circ \boldsymbol{\Delta}_X = \boldsymbol{\Delta}'_X \circ \psi_X \text{ and } \xi_X \circ \theta_X = \theta'_X \circ \psi_X.$$

The category of generalized comonads on $\mathfrak{A}$ is denoted by $\mathbf{GComonad}_{\mathfrak{A}}$. We denote by $\mathbb{J}$-$\mathbf{Comonad}_{\mathfrak{A}}$ the subcategory of $\mathbb{J}$-comonads for which ω is the identity transformation. When we drop the prefix, we have the special case $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{A}}$ and ω is the identity natural transformation and recover the notion of *comonads*[5] on $\mathfrak{A}$.

2.17. Let $(\mathbb{C},\boldsymbol{\Delta},\omega,\theta;\mathbb{J})$ be a generalized comonad on $\mathfrak{A}$. A $(\mathbb{C};\mathbb{J})$*-comodule* is an object $X \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathfrak{A})$ along with a morphism $\varrho^X : X \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}(X)$ in $\mathfrak{A}$ such that the following diagrams are commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, "\varrho^X"] \arrow[d, "\varrho^X"'] & \mathbb{C}(X) \arrow[d, "\mathbb{C}(\varrho^X)"] \\
\mathbb{C}(X) \arrow[r, "\boldsymbol{\Delta}_X"'] & \mathbb{C}\mathbb{C}(X)
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
X \arrow[r, "\varrho^X"] \arrow[d, "\omega_X"'] & \mathbb{C}(X) \arrow[d, "\theta_X"] \\
\mathbb{J}(X) \arrow[r, equal] & \mathbb{J}(X)
\end{tikzcd}$$

The category of $(\mathbb{C};\mathbb{J})$-comodules and morphisms those of $\mathbb{C}$-coacts is denoted by $\mathfrak{A}^{(\mathbb{C};\mathbb{J})}$. In case $\mathbb{J} = \mathbb{I}_{\mathfrak{A}}$ and ξ is the identity natural transformation, we have the category of $\mathbb{C}$-*comodules*[6].

2.18. Let $(\mathbb{C},\boldsymbol{\Delta},\varepsilon;\mathbb{J})$ be a generalized comonad on $\mathfrak{A}$. For every $X \in \mathrm{Obj}(\mathfrak{A})$, $\mathbb{C}(X)$ has a canonical structure of a $(\mathbb{C};\mathbb{J})$-comodule through

$$\varrho^{\mathbb{C}(X)} : \mathbb{C}(X) \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\Delta}_X} \mathbb{C}\mathbb{C}(X).$$

Such comodules are called *cofree* $(\mathbb{C};\mathbb{J})$*-comodules* and we have the so called *cofree functor*

$$\mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{C}} : \mathfrak{A} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}^{(\mathbb{C};\mathbb{J})},\ X \mapsto \mathbb{C}(X).$$

The full subcategory of cofree $(\mathbb{C};\mathbb{J})$-comodules is called the *Kleisli category* of $\mathbb{C}$ and is denoted by $\widetilde{\mathfrak{A}}^{(\mathbb{C};\mathbb{J})}$.

---

[5] Comonads were called *cotriples* in some classical works of category theory (e.g. [BW2005]).

[6] Some references call these $\mathbf{C}$-*coalgebras* (*e.g.* [Bec2003]).

Example 2.19. Let $(\mathbb{C}, \mathbf{\Delta}, \omega, \theta; \mathbb{J})$ be a generalized comonad on $\mathfrak{A}$ with $\mathbb{J}\mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{C}\mathbb{J}$. If X is a $(\mathbb{C}; \mathbb{J})$-comodule, then $\mathbb{J}(X)$ is also a $(\mathbb{C}; \mathbb{J})$-comodule through

$$\varrho^{\mathbb{J}(X)} : \mathbb{J}(X) \overset{\mathbb{J}(\varrho^X)}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{J}\mathbb{C}(X) \simeq \mathbb{C}(\mathbb{J}(X)).$$

If $Y = \mathbb{C}(X)$ is a cofree $(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{J})$-comodule, then $\mathbb{J}(Y) = \mathbb{J}\mathbb{C}(X) \simeq \mathbb{C}\mathbb{J}(X)$ is also a cofree $(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{J})$-comodule.

2.20. Let $(\mathbb{C}, \mathbf{\Delta}, \omega, \theta; \mathbb{J})$ be a generalized comonad on $\mathfrak{A}$ with $\mathbb{J}$ idempotent and $\mathbb{J}\mathbb{C} \simeq \mathbb{C}\mathbb{J}$. Then we have a natural isomorphism for $X \in \mathfrak{A}$ and $Y \in \mathfrak{A}^{(\mathbb{C};\mathbb{J})}$:

$$\mathrm{Mor}_{\mathfrak{A}^{(\mathbb{C};\mathbb{J})}}(\mathbb{J}(Y), \mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{C}}(\mathbb{J}(X))) \simeq \mathrm{Mor}_{\mathfrak{A}}(\mathbb{J}(Y), \mathbb{J}(X)),\ \ f \mapsto \theta_{\mathbb{J}(X)} \circ f$$

with inverse $g \longmapsto \mathcal{F}^{\mathbb{C}}(g) \circ \varrho^{\mathbb{J}(Y)}$; *i.e.* $(\mathbb{I}, \mathcal{F}^{(\mathbb{C};\mathbb{J})}(-))$ is a $(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{J})$-adjoint pair. Consequently, $\mathbb{J}(\mathfrak{A}^{(\mathbb{C};\mathbb{J})}) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{J}(\mathfrak{A})$ is a *coreflective* subcategory.

Proposition 2.21. *Let* $\mathfrak{A}$ *and* $\mathfrak{B}$ *be categories,* $\mathbf{L} : \mathfrak{A} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{B}$, $\mathbf{R} : \mathfrak{B} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}$ *be functors and* $\mathbb{J} : \mathfrak{A} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{A}$, $\mathbb{K} : \mathfrak{B} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ *endo-functors such that* $\mathbf{L}\mathbb{J} \simeq \mathbb{K}\mathbf{L}$, $\mathbb{J}\mathbf{R} \simeq \mathbf{R}\mathbb{K}$ *and* $(\mathbf{L}, \mathbf{R})$ *is a* $(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{K})$*-adjoint pair.*

1. $(\mathcal{L}, R)$ *is an adjoint pair where* $\mathcal{L} : \mathbb{J}(\mathfrak{A}) \overset{\mathbf{L}}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{B})$ *and* $\mathcal{R} : \mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{B}) \overset{\mathbf{R}}{\longrightarrow} \mathbb{J}(\mathfrak{A})$ *with unit and counit of adjunction given by*

$$\eta : \mathbb{J} \longrightarrow \mathbf{R}\mathbf{L}\mathbb{J} \text{ and } \varepsilon : \mathbf{L}\mathbf{R}\mathbb{K} \longrightarrow \mathbb{K}.$$

2. $\mathbf{R}\mathbf{L}$ *is a monad on* $\mathbb{J}(\mathfrak{A})$ *with*

$$\mu_{\mathbf{RL}} : (\mathbf{RL})(\mathbf{RL})\mathbb{J} \simeq \mathbf{R}(\mathbf{LR}\mathbb{K})\mathbf{L} \overset{\mathbf{R}\varepsilon\mathbf{L}}{\longrightarrow} \mathbf{R}\mathbb{K}\mathbf{L} \simeq (\mathbf{RL})\mathbb{J} \text{ and } \eta_{\mathbf{RL}} := \eta.$$

3. $\mathbf{L}\mathbf{R}$ *is a comonad on* $\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{B})$ *with*

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{LR}} : (\mathbf{LR})\mathbb{K} \simeq \mathbf{L}\mathbb{J}\mathbf{R} \overset{\mathbf{L}\eta\mathbf{R}}{\longrightarrow} \mathbf{L}(\mathbf{RL}\mathbb{J})\mathbf{R} \simeq (\mathbf{LR})(\mathbf{LR})\mathbb{K} \text{ and } \varepsilon_{\mathbf{LR}} := \varepsilon.$$

4. $\mathbf{L}$ *is a monad on* $\mathbb{J}(\mathfrak{A})$ *if and only if* $\mathbf{R}$ *is a comonad on* $\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{B})$*. In this case,* $\mathbb{J}(\mathfrak{A})_{\mathbf{L}} \simeq \mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{B})^{\mathbf{R}}$.

5. $\mathbf{L}$ *is a comonad on* $\mathbb{J}(\mathfrak{A})$ *if and only if* $\mathbf{R}$ *is a monad on* $\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{B})$*. In this case,* $\widetilde{\mathbb{J}(\mathfrak{A})}^{\mathbf{L}} \simeq \widetilde{\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{B})}_{\mathbf{R}}$.

Proof. By assumption $\mathbf{L}\mathbb{J} \simeq \mathbb{K}\mathbf{L}$ whence $\mathcal{L}(\mathbb{J}(\mathfrak{A})) := \mathbf{L}\mathbb{J}(\mathfrak{A}) = \mathbb{K}\mathbf{L}(\mathfrak{A}) \subseteq \mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{B})$ and $\mathbb{J}\mathbf{R} \simeq \mathbf{R}\mathbb{K}$ whence $\mathcal{R}(\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{B})) := \mathbf{R}(\mathbb{K}(\mathfrak{B})) = \mathbb{J}\mathbf{R}(\mathfrak{B}) \subseteq \mathbb{J}(\mathfrak{A})$. The assumptions imply that $(\mathcal{L}, \mathcal{R})$ is an adjoint pair. The result follows then from the classical result on right adjoint pairs (*e.g.* [EM1965, Proposition 3.1], [BBW2009, 2.5, 2.6]).■

3 Generalized Monoidal Categories

In this section, we introduce a notion of generalized monoidal categories and generalized (op-)monoidal functors.

Semimonoidal Categories

3.1. Recall that a category $\mathcal{V}$ is said to be *semimonoidal* iff there exists a bifunctor

$$- \bullet - : \mathcal{V} \times \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V},\ (X, Y) \mapsto X \bullet Y$$

along with natural isomorphisms $(X \bullet Y) \bullet Z \overset{\gamma_{X,Y,Z}}{\simeq} X \bullet (Y \bullet Z)$ such that the following *pentagon is* commutative for any $W, X, Y, Z \in \mathcal{V}$

$$\begin{tikzcd}
 & (W \bullet X) \bullet (Y \bullet Z) \arrow[dr, "\gamma_{W,X,Y \bullet Z}"] & \\
((W \bullet X) \bullet Y) \bullet Z \arrow[ur, "\gamma_{W \bullet X,Y,Z}"] \arrow[d, "\gamma_{W,X,Y} \bullet Z"'] & & W \bullet (X \bullet (Y \bullet Z)) \\
(W \bullet (X \bullet Y)) \bullet Z \arrow[rr, "\gamma_{W,X \bullet Y,Z}"'] & & W \bullet ((X \bullet Y) \bullet Z) \arrow[u, "W \bullet \gamma_{X,Y,Z}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

3.2. Let $(\mathcal{V}, \bullet)$ and $(\mathcal{W}, \otimes)$ be semimonoidal categories. A *functor* $F : \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}$ is said to be *semimonoidal* iff there exist *coherence maps* given by a natural transformation $\phi : F(-) \otimes F(-) \longrightarrow F(- \bullet -)$ such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
(F(X) \otimes F(Y)) \otimes F(Z) \arrow[r, "\gamma_{F(X),F(Y),F(Z)}"] \arrow[d, "\phi_{X,Y} \otimes F(Z)"'] & F(X) \otimes (F(Y) \otimes F(Z)) \arrow[d, "F(X) \otimes \phi_{Y,Z}"] \\
F(X \bullet Y) \otimes F(Z) \arrow[d, "\phi_{X \bullet Y,Z}"'] & F(X) \otimes F(Y \bullet Z) \arrow[d, "\phi_{X,Y \bullet Z}"] \\
F((X \bullet Y) \bullet Z) \arrow[r, "F(\gamma_{X,Y,Z})"'] & F(X \bullet (Y \bullet Z))
\end{tikzcd}$$

Moreover, we say that F is a *strong* (*strict*) *semimonoidal functor* iff $\phi_{X,Y}$ is an isomorphism (identity) for each pair of objects $X, Y \in \mathcal{V}$. For two semimonoidal functors $F, F' : \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}$, we say that a natural transformation $\xi : F \longrightarrow F'$ is *semimonoidal* iff the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(X) \otimes F(Y) \arrow[r, "\xi_X \otimes \xi_Y"] \arrow[d, "\phi_{X,Y}"'] & F'(X) \otimes F'(Y) \arrow[d, "\phi'_{X,Y}"] \\
F(X \bullet Y) \arrow[r, "\xi_{X \bullet Y}"'] & F'(X \bullet Y)
\end{tikzcd}$$

3.3. Let $(\mathcal{V}, \bullet)$ and $(\mathcal{W}, \otimes)$ be semimonoidal categories. A functor $F : \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}$ is said to be *op-semimonoidal* iff there exist *coherence maps* given by a natural transformation $\delta : F(- \bullet -) \longrightarrow F(-) \otimes F(-)$ such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F((X \bullet Y) \bullet Z) \arrow[r, "F(\gamma_{X,Y,Z})"] \arrow[d, "\delta_{X \bullet Y, Z}"'] & F(X \bullet (Y \bullet Z)) \arrow[d, "\delta_{X, Y \bullet Z}"] \\
F(X \bullet Y) \otimes F(Z) \arrow[d, "\delta_{X,Y} \otimes F(Z)"'] & F(X) \otimes F(Y \bullet Z) \arrow[d, "F(X) \otimes \delta_{Y,Z}"] \\
(F(X) \otimes F(Y)) \otimes F(Z) \arrow[r, "\gamma_{F(X),F(Y),F(Z)}"'] & F(X) \otimes (F(Y) \otimes F(Z))
\end{tikzcd}$$

Moreover, we say that F is a *strong* (*strict*) op-*semimonoidal functor* iff $\delta_{X,Y}$ is an isomorphism (identity) for every pair of objects $X, Y \in \mathcal{V}$. For two semimonoidal functors $F, F' : \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}$, we say that a natural transformation $\xi : F \longrightarrow F'$ is *op-semimonoidal* iff the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(X \bullet Y) \arrow[r, "\xi_{X \bullet Y}"] \arrow[d, "\delta_{X,Y}"'] & F'(X \bullet Y) \arrow[d, "\delta'_{X,Y}"] \\
F(X) \otimes F(Y) \arrow[r, "\xi_X \otimes \xi_Y"'] & F'(X) \otimes F'(Y)
\end{tikzcd}$$

3.4. Let $(\mathcal{V}, \bullet)$ be a semimonoidal category. A *braiding* on $\mathcal{V}$ is given by a natural isomorphism $X \bullet Y \overset{\zeta_{X,Y}}{\simeq} Y \bullet X$ for every pair of objects $X, Y \in \mathcal{V}$ making the following triangles commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& Y \bullet X \bullet Z \arrow[dl, "\zeta_{Y,X} \bullet Z"'] \arrow[dr, "Y \bullet \zeta_{X,Z}"] & \\
X \bullet Y \bullet Z \arrow[rr, "\zeta_{X, Y \bullet Z}"'] & & Y \bullet Z \bullet X
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
& X \bullet Z \bullet Y \arrow[dl, "X \bullet \zeta_{Z,Y}"'] \arrow[dr, "\zeta_{X,Z} \bullet Y"] & \\
X \bullet Y \bullet Z \arrow[rr, "\zeta_{X \bullet Y, Z}"'] & & Z \bullet X \bullet Y
\end{tikzcd}$$

If $\zeta^2 = \mathrm{id}$, then we say that $\mathcal{V}$ is ζ-*symmetric*.

3.5. Let $(\mathcal{V},\bullet)$ and $(\mathcal{W},\otimes)$ be braided (symmetric) semimonoidal categories. A functor $F:\mathcal{V}\longrightarrow\mathcal{W}$ is said to be a *braided* (*symmetric*) *semimonoidal functor* iff it is semimonoidal and the following diagram commutes for every pair of objects X,Y in $\mathcal{V}$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(X)\otimes F(Y) \arrow[r, "\zeta_{F(X),F(Y)}"] \arrow[d, "\phi_{X,Y}"'] & F(Y)\otimes F(X) \arrow[d, "\phi_{Y,X}"] \\
F(X\bullet Y) \arrow[r, "F(\zeta_{X,Y})"'] & F(Y\bullet X)
\end{tikzcd}$$

On the other hand, we say that F is a *braided* (*symmetric*) *op-semimonoidal functor* iff it is semimonoidal and the following diagram commutes for every pair of objects X,Y in $\mathcal{V}$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(X\bullet Y) \arrow[r, "F(\zeta_{X,Y})"] \arrow[d, "\delta_{X,Y}"'] & F(Y\bullet X) \arrow[d, "\delta_{Y,X}"] \\
F(X)\otimes F(Y) \arrow[r, "\zeta_{F(X),F(Y)}"'] & F(Y)\otimes F(X)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Semiunits

3.6. Let $(\mathcal{V},\bullet)$ be a semimonoidal category. We say that $\mathbf{I}\in\mathcal{V}$ is a *semiunit* iff

1. there is a natural transformation $\omega:\mathbb{I}\longrightarrow(\mathbf{I}\bullet-)$;
2. there exists an isomorphisms of functors $\mathbf{I}\bullet-\simeq-\bullet\mathbf{I}$, *i.e.* there are isomorphisms $\mathbf{I}\bullet X\overset{\ell_X}{\simeq}X\bullet\mathbf{I}$ in $\mathcal{V}$ with inverse $\wp_X$, natural in X, such that $\ell_{\mathbf{I}}=\wp_{\mathbf{I}}$ and the following diagram is commutative for all $X,Y\in\mathcal{V}$:

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{I}\bullet(X\bullet Y) \arrow[r, "\ell_{X\bullet Y}"] & (X\bullet Y)\bullet\mathbf{I} \\
(\mathbf{I}\bullet X)\bullet Y \arrow[u, "\gamma_{\mathbf{I},X,Y}"] & X\bullet(Y\bullet\mathbf{I}) \arrow[u, "\gamma^{-1}_{X,Y,\mathbf{I}}"'] \\
(X\bullet\mathbf{I})\bullet Y \arrow[u, "\wp_X\bullet Y"] \arrow[r, "\gamma_{X,\mathbf{I},Y}"'] & X\bullet(\mathbf{I}\bullet Y) \arrow[u, "X\bullet\ell_Y"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

If $X\overset{\omega_X}{\simeq}\mathbf{I}\bullet X$ $(\overset{\ell_X}{\simeq}X\bullet\mathbf{I})$, then we say that X is *firm*.

Notation. If X is firm, then we set $\lambda_X:=\omega_X^{-1}:\mathbf{I}\bullet X\longrightarrow X$ and $\rho_X:X\bullet\mathbf{I}\overset{\wp_X}{\simeq}\mathbf{I}\bullet X\xrightarrow{\omega_X^{-1}}X$. With $\mathcal{V}^{\mathrm{firm}}$ we denote the subcategory of firm objects in $\mathcal{V}$.

Remark 3.7. If $\mathbf{I}$ is firm (called also *pseudo-idempotent*) and $\omega_{\mathbf{I}}^{-1}\bullet\mathbf{I}=\mathbf{I}\bullet\omega_{\mathbf{I}}^{-1}$, then one says that $\mathbf{I}$ is *idempotent* [Koc2008].

Definition 3.8. We call a semiunital semimonoidal category $(\mathcal{V}, \bullet, \mathbf{I}; \omega)$ a *generalized monoidal category.*

Remark 3.9. Let $(\mathcal{V}, \bullet)$ be a semimonoidal category. One says that $\mathcal{V}$ is *monoidal* [Mac1998] iff $\mathcal{V}$ has a *unit* (or an *LR unit*), i.e. a distinguished object $\mathbf{I} \in \mathcal{V}$ with natural isomorphisms $\mathbf{I} \bullet X \overset{\lambda_X}{\simeq} X$ and $X \bullet \mathbf{I} \overset{\rho_X}{\simeq} X$ such that $X \bullet \lambda_Y = \rho_X \bullet Y$ for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{V}$ (equivalently, $\lambda_{\mathbf{I}} = \rho_{\mathbf{I}}$, $\lambda_{X \bullet Y} = \lambda_X \bullet Y$ and $\rho_{X \bullet Y} = X \bullet \rho_Y$ for all $X, Y \in \mathcal{V}$). Kock [Koc2008] called an object $\mathbf{I} \in \mathcal{V}$ a *Saavedra unit* – called also a *reduced unit* – iff it is pseudo-idempotent and *cancellable* in the sense that endo-functors $\mathbf{I} \bullet -$ and $- \bullet \mathbf{I}$ are full and faithful (equivalently, $\mathbf{I}$ is idempotent and the endo-functors $\mathbf{I} \bullet -$ and $- \bullet \mathbf{I}$ are equivalences of categories). Moreover, he showed that $\mathbf{I}$ is a unit if and only if $\mathbf{I}$ is a Saavedra unit. Indeed, every unit is a semiunit, whence our notion of semiunital semimonoidal categories generalizes the classical notion of monoidal categories.

3.10. Let $(\mathcal{V}, \bullet, \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{V}}; \omega_{\mathcal{V}})$ and $(\mathcal{W}, \otimes, \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{W}}; \omega_{\mathcal{W}})$ be generalized monoidal categories. A semimonoidal functor $F : \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}$ is said to be *generalized monoidal* iff there exists a *coherence morphism* $\phi : \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{W}} \longrightarrow F(\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{V}})$ in $\mathcal{W}$ such that the following diagrams are commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(X) \otimes \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{W}} \arrow[r, "F(X)\otimes\phi"] \arrow[d, "\wp_{F(X)}"'] & F(X) \otimes F(\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{V}}) \arrow[ddd, "\phi_{X,\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{V}}}"] \\
\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{W}} \otimes F(X) \arrow[d, "\phi\otimes F(X)"'] & \\
F(\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{V}}) \otimes F(X) \arrow[d, "\phi_{\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{V}},X}"'] & \\
F(\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{V}} \bullet X) \arrow[r, "F(\ell_X)"'] & F(X \bullet \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{V}})
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{W}} \otimes F(X) \arrow[r, "\phi\otimes F(X)"] \arrow[d, "\ell_{F(X)}"'] & F(\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{V}}) \otimes F(X) \arrow[ddd, "\phi_{\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{V}},X}"] \\
F(X) \otimes \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{W}} \arrow[d, "F(X)\otimes\phi"'] & \\
F(X) \otimes F(\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{V}}) \arrow[d, "\phi_{X,\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{V}}}"'] & \\
F(X \bullet \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{V}}) \arrow[r, "F(\wp_X)"'] & F(\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{V}} \bullet X)
\end{tikzcd}$$

Moreover, we say that F is a *strong* (*strict*) *generalized monoidal functor* iff F is strong (strict) as a semimonoidal functor and ϕ is an isomorphism (identity). For two semimonoidal functors $F, F' : \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}$, we say that a semimonoidal natural transformation $\xi : F \longrightarrow F'$ is *generalized monoidal* iff the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{W}} \arrow[dl, "\phi"'] \arrow[dr, "\phi'"] & \\
F(\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{V}}) \arrow[rr, "\xi_{\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{V}}}"'] & & F'(\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{V}})
\end{tikzcd}$$

3.11. Let $(\mathcal{V}, \bullet, \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{V}}; \omega_{\mathcal{V}})$ and $(\mathcal{W}, \otimes, \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{W}}; \omega_{\mathcal{W}})$ be generalized monoidal categories. An op-semimonoidal functor $F : \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathcal{W}$ is said to be *generalized op-monoidal* iff there exists a *coherence morphism* $\delta : F(\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{V}}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{W}}$ in $\mathcal{W}$ such that the following diagrams are commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
F(X)\otimes\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{W}} & F(X)\otimes F(\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{V}}) \arrow[l, "F(X)\otimes\delta"'] \\
\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{W}}\otimes F(X) \arrow[u, "\ell_{F(X)}"] & \\
F(\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{V}})\otimes F(X) \arrow[u, "\delta\otimes F(X)"] & \\
F(\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{V}}\bullet X) \arrow[u, "\delta_{\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{V}},X}"] & F(X\bullet\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{V}}) \arrow[l, "F(\wp_X)"] \arrow[uuu, "\delta_{X,\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{V}}}"']
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{W}}\otimes F(X) & F(\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{V}})\otimes F(X) \arrow[l, "\delta\otimes F(X)"'] \\
F(X)\otimes\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{W}} \arrow[u, "\ell_{F(X)}"] & \\
F(X)\otimes F(\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{V}}) \arrow[u, "F(X)\otimes\delta"] & \\
F(X\bullet\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{V}}) \arrow[u, "\delta_{X,\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{V}}}"] & F(\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{V}}\bullet X) \arrow[l, "F(\ell_X)"] \arrow[uuu, "\delta_{\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{V}},X}"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Moreover, we say that F is a *strong* (*strict*) *generalized op-monoidal functor* iff F is strong (strict) as an op-semimonoidal functor and ϕ is an isomorphism (identity). For two op-semimonoidal functors $F,F':\mathcal{V}\longrightarrow\mathcal{W}$, we say that an op-semimonoidal natural transformation $\xi:F\longrightarrow F'$ is *generalized op-monoidal* iff the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
& \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{W}} & \\
F(\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{V}}) \arrow[ur, "\delta"] \arrow[rr, "\xi_{\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{V}}}"'] & & F'(\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{V}}) \arrow[ul, "\delta'"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

Remarks 3.12. Let $(\mathcal{V},\bullet,\mathbf{I};\omega)$ be a generalized monoidal category and consider the functor

$$\mathbb{J}:=\mathbf{I}\bullet - :\mathcal{V}\longrightarrow\mathcal{V}.$$

1. We have natural isomorphisms

   $$\mathbb{J}(\mathbf{I})\bullet X\simeq\mathbb{J}(\mathbb{J}(X))\simeq X\bullet\mathbb{J}(\mathbf{I})\text{ and }\mathbb{J}(X)\bullet Y\simeq X\bullet\mathbb{J}(Y)$$

   for any $X,Y\in\mathcal{V}$.

2. $\mathbb{J}$ is op-semimonoidal.

3. Assume that $\mathbf{I}$ is firm.

   (a) $\mathbb{J}$ is strong generalized monoidal with

   $$\phi_{X,Y}:(\mathbf{I}\bullet X)\bullet(\mathbf{I}\bullet Y)\simeq(\mathbf{I}\bullet\mathbf{I})\bullet(X\bullet Y)\overset{\omega_{\mathbf{I}}^{-1}\bullet(X\bullet Y)}{\simeq}\mathbf{I}\bullet(X\bullet Y)\text{ and }\phi:=\omega_{\mathbf{I}}:\mathbf{I}\longrightarrow\mathbf{I}\bullet\mathbf{I}$$

   for all $X,Y\in\mathcal{V}$.

   (b) $\mathbb{J}$ is strong generalized op-monoidal with

   $$\delta_{X,Y}:\mathbf{I}\bullet(X\bullet Y)\overset{\omega_{\mathbf{I}}\bullet(X\bullet Y)}{\simeq}(\mathbf{I}\bullet\mathbf{I})\bullet(X\bullet Y)\simeq(\mathbf{I}\bullet X)\bullet(\mathbf{I}\bullet Y)\text{ and }\delta:=\omega_{\mathbf{I}}^{-1}:\mathbf{I}\bullet\mathbf{I}\longrightarrow\mathbf{I}$$

   for all $X,Y\in\mathcal{V}$.

(c) the full subcategory $(\mathcal{V}^{\mathrm{firm}}, \bullet, \mathbf{I})$ is monoidal.

(d) $(\mathbb{J}(\mathcal{V}), \bullet, \mathbf{I})$ is a monoidal full subcategory of $(\mathcal{V}^{\mathrm{firm}}, \bullet, \mathbf{I})$ with

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \lambda_{\mathbf{I}\bullet X} & : & \mathbf{I}\bullet(\mathbf{I}\bullet X) \overset{\gamma^{-1}_{\mathbf{I},\mathbf{I},X}}{\simeq} (\mathbf{I}\bullet\mathbf{I})\bullet X \overset{\omega_{\mathbf{I}}^{-1}}{\simeq} \mathbf{I}\bullet X; \\ \rho_{\mathbf{I}\bullet X} & : & (\mathbf{I}\bullet X)\bullet\mathbf{I} \overset{\gamma_{\mathbf{I},X,\mathbf{I}}}{\simeq} \mathbf{I}\bullet(X\bullet\mathbf{I}) \overset{\mathbf{I}\bullet\wp_X}{\simeq} \mathbf{I}\bullet(\mathbf{I}\bullet X) \overset{\lambda_{\mathbf{I}\bullet X}}{\simeq} \mathbf{I}\bullet X \end{array}$$

for every $X \in \mathcal{V}$.

Definition 3.13. Let $(\mathcal{V}, \bullet, \mathbf{I}; \omega)$ be a generalized monoidal category. We say that $V \in \mathcal{V}$ has a *left dual* iff there exists $V_l^{\circledast} \in \mathcal{V}$ along with morphisms $\upsilon : \mathbf{I} \longrightarrow \mathbf{I}\bullet V \bullet V_l^{\circledast}$ and $\varpi : \mathbf{I}\bullet V_l^{\circledast}\bullet V \longrightarrow \mathbf{I}$ in $\mathcal{V}$ such that

$$(V\bullet\varpi)\circ(\ell_V\bullet V_l^{\circledast}\bullet V)\circ(\upsilon\bullet V) = \ell_V \text{ and } (\varpi\bullet V_l^{\circledast})\circ(\wp_{V^{\circledast}}\bullet V\bullet V_l^{\circledast})\circ(V_l^{\circledast}\bullet\upsilon) = \wp_{V^{\circledast}}.$$

A *right dual* $V_r^{\circledast}$ of V is defined symmetrically. We say that $\mathcal{V}$ is *left* (*right*) *autonomous*, or *left* (*right*) *rigid* iff every object in $\mathcal{V}$ has a left (right) dual.

Definition 3.14. Let $(\mathcal{V}, \bullet, \mathbf{I}; \omega)$ be a generalized monoidal category. We say that $\mathcal{V}$ is *right (left) closed* iff for every $V \in \mathcal{V}$, the functor $-\bullet V : \mathbb{J}(\mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{J}(\mathcal{V})$ $(V\bullet - : \mathbb{J}(\mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{J}(\mathcal{V}))$ has a right-adjoint, *i.e.* there exists a functor $G : \mathbb{J}(\mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow \mathbb{J}(\mathcal{V})$ and a natural isomorphism for every pair of objects $X, Y \in \mathcal{V}$:

$$\mathcal{V}(X\bullet\mathbf{I}\bullet V, Y\bullet\mathbf{I}) \simeq \mathcal{V}(X\bullet\mathbf{I}, G(Y\bullet\mathbf{I})) \text{ (resp. } \mathcal{V}(V\bullet\mathbf{I}\bullet X, Y\bullet\mathbf{I}) \simeq \mathcal{V}(X\bullet\mathbf{I}, G(Y\bullet\mathbf{I}))).$$

Moreover, $\mathcal{V}$ is said to be *closed* iff $\mathcal{V}$ is left and right closed.

Lemma 3.15. *Let* $(\mathcal{V}, \bullet, \mathbf{I}; \omega)$ *be a generalized monoidal category. If* $V \in \mathcal{V}$ *has a left* (*right*) *dual* $V^{\circledast}$, *then* $(-\bullet V, -\bullet V^{\circledast})$ $((V\bullet -, V^{\circledast}\bullet -))$ *is a* $(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{J})$*-adjoint pair. In particular, if* $\mathcal{V}$ *is left* (*right*) *autonomous, then* $\mathcal{V}$ *is right* (*left*) *closed.*

Proof. Assume that $V \in \mathcal{V}$ has a left dual $V^{\circledast}$. For any $X, Y \in \mathcal{V}$ we have a natural isomorphism

$$\mathcal{V}(X\bullet\mathbf{I}\bullet V, Y\bullet\mathbf{I}) \simeq \mathcal{V}(X\bullet\mathbf{I}, Y\bullet\mathbf{I}\bullet V^{\circledast}),\ f \longmapsto (f\bullet V^{\circledast})\circ(X\bullet\upsilon) \tag{3}$$

with inverse $g \longmapsto (Y\bullet\varpi)\circ(g\bullet V)$.■

4 Generalized (Co)Monoids

In this section, we introduce notions of generalized monoids and generalized comonoids in arbitrary generalized monoidal categories.

Throughout, $(\mathcal{V}, \bullet, \mathbf{I}; \omega)$ is a generalized monoidal category and $\mathbb{J} := \mathbf{I}\bullet - \simeq -\bullet\mathbf{I} : \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}$ (with natural isomorphisms $\mathbf{I}\bullet X \overset{\ell_X}{\simeq} X\bullet\mathbf{I}$ and inverse $X\bullet\mathbf{I} \xrightarrow{\wp_X := \ell_X^{-1}} \mathbf{I}\bullet X$ for every $X \in \mathcal{V}$).

Generalized Monoids

4.1. A *generalized $\mathcal{V}$-monoid* (*generalized $\mathcal{V}$-algebra*) consists of a datum (A, μ, η) where $A \in \mathcal{V}$ and $\mu : A \bullet A \longrightarrow A$, $\eta : \mathbf{I} \longrightarrow A$ are morphisms in $\mathcal{V}$ such that the following diagrams are commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \bullet A \bullet A \arrow[r, "\mu \bullet A"] \arrow[d, "A \bullet \mu"'] & A \bullet A \arrow[d, "\mu"] \\
A \bullet A \arrow[r, "\mu"'] & A
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
A \bullet A \arrow[r, "\mu"] & A \arrow[d, "\omega_A"] & A \bullet A \arrow[l, "\mu"'] \\
\mathbf{I} \bullet A \arrow[u, "\eta \bullet A"] \arrow[r, equal] & \mathbf{I} \bullet A & A \bullet \mathbf{I} \arrow[l, "\wp_A"] \arrow[u, "A \bullet \eta"']
\end{tikzcd}$$

A *morphism of generalized $\mathcal{V}$-monoids* (*generalized $\mathcal{V}$-algebras*) $f : (A, \mu, \eta) \longrightarrow (A', \mu', \eta')$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{V}$ such that the following diagrams are commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
A \bullet A \arrow[r, "\mu"] \arrow[d, "f \bullet f"'] & A \arrow[d, "f"] \\
A' \bullet A' \arrow[r, "\mu'"'] & A'
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathbf{I} \arrow[r, "\eta"] \arrow[d, equal] & A \arrow[d, "f"] \\
\mathbf{I} \arrow[r, "\eta'"'] & A'
\end{tikzcd}$$

The category of generalized $\mathcal{V}$-monoids is denoted by $\mathbf{GMon}(\mathcal{V})$. If ζ is a braiding on $\mathcal{V}$, then we say that a generalized $\mathcal{V}$-monoid (A, μ, η) is *ζ-commutative* iff $\mu_A \circ \zeta_{A,A} = \mu_A$. With ζ-$\mathbf{cGMon}(\mathcal{V})$ we denote the full subcategory of $\mathbf{GMon}(\mathcal{V})$ consisting of ζ-commutative generalized $\mathcal{V}$-monoids.

Example 4.2. If $\mathbf{I}$ is firm, then $\mathbf{I}$ is a generalized $\mathcal{V}$-monoid with

$$\mu_{\mathbf{I}} : \mathbf{I} \bullet \mathbf{I} \xrightarrow{\omega_{\mathbf{I}}^{-1}} \mathbf{I} \text{ and } \eta_{\mathbf{I}} : \mathbf{I} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} \mathbf{I}.$$

4.3. Let (A, μ, η) be a generalized $\mathcal{V}$-monoid. A right generalized A-module is a datum (M, ϱ_M) where $M \in \mathcal{V}$ and $\varrho_M : M \bullet A \longrightarrow M$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{V}$ such that the following diagrams are commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M \bullet A \bullet A \arrow[r, "\varrho_M \bullet A"] \arrow[d, "M \bullet \mu"'] & M \bullet A \arrow[d, "\varrho_M"] \\
M \bullet A \arrow[r, "\varrho_M"'] & M
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
M \bullet A \arrow[r, "\varrho_M"] & M \arrow[d, "\omega_M"] \\
M \bullet \mathbf{I} \arrow[u, "M \bullet \eta"] \arrow[r, "\wp_M"'] & \mathbf{I} \bullet M
\end{tikzcd}$$

A morphism of right generalized A-modules is a morphism $f : M \longrightarrow M'$ in $\mathcal{V}$ such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M \bullet A \arrow[r, "\varrho_M"] \arrow[d, "f \bullet A"'] & M \arrow[d, "f"] \\
M' \bullet A \arrow[r, "\varrho_{M'}"'] & M'
\end{tikzcd}$$

The category of right generalized A-modules is denoted by $\mathbf{GM}_A$. Analogously, one can define the category ${}_A\mathbf{GM}$ of left generalized A-modules.

4.4. Let A be a $\mathcal{V}$-monoid and M a right generalized A-module. Then we have a functor

$$- \bullet M : \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathbf{GM}_A,$$

where for any $X \in \mathcal{V}$, we have a structure of a right generalized A-module on $X \bullet M$ given by

$$\varrho_{X \bullet M} : (X \bullet M) \bullet A \overset{\gamma_{X,M,A}}{\longrightarrow} X \bullet (M \bullet A) \longrightarrow X \bullet M.$$

Similarly, if M is a left generalized A-module, then we have a functor $M \bullet - : \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow {}_A\mathbf{GM}$.

4.5. Consider generalized $\mathcal{V}$-monoids A, B. Let M be a left generalized B-module as well as a right generalized A-module and consider the right generalized A-module $B \bullet M$ and the left generalized B-module $M \bullet A$. We say that M is a *generalized* (B, A)*-bimodule* iff $\varrho_{(M;B)} : B \bullet M \longrightarrow M$ is a morphism of right generalized A-modules (equivalently, $\varrho_{(M;A)} : M \bullet A \longrightarrow M$ is a morphism of left generalized B-modules). The category of generalized (A, B)-bimodules with morphisms being simultaneously morphisms of left generalized B-modules and morphisms of right generalized A-modules is denoted by ${}_B\mathbf{GM}_A$. Indeed, every generalized $\mathcal{V}$-monoid A is a generalized (A, A)-bimodule in a canonical way.

Proposition 4.6. *Let* $F : (\mathcal{V}, \bullet, \mathbf{I}_\mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{W}, \otimes, \mathbf{I}_\mathcal{W})$ *be a generalized monoidal functor. Then we have a functor*

$$\widetilde{F} : \mathbf{GMon}(\mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{GMon}(\mathcal{W}),\ A \longmapsto F(A).$$

Proof. Let (A, μ_A, η_A) be a monoid (algebra) in $\mathcal{V}$ and consider $B := F(A)$. Define

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu_B &: F(A) \otimes F(A) \overset{\phi_{A,A}}{\longrightarrow} F(A \bullet A) \overset{F(\mu_A)}{\longrightarrow} F(A); \\
\eta_B &: \mathbf{I}_\mathcal{W} \overset{\phi}{\longrightarrow} F(\mathbf{I}_\mathcal{V}) \overset{F(\eta_A)}{\longrightarrow} F(A).
\end{aligned}$$

One checks easily that (B, μ_B, η_B) is a monoid (algebra) in $\mathcal{W}$. If $f : A \longrightarrow A'$ is a morphism of $\mathcal{V}$-monoids ($\mathcal{V}$-algebras), then following the involved diagrams shows that $F(f) : F(A) \longrightarrow F(A')$ is a morphism of $\mathcal{W}$-monoids ($\mathcal{W}$-algebras).■

Proposition 4.7. *Let (A, μ, η) be a generalized $\mathcal{V}$-monoid.*

1. *We have $\mathbb{J}$-monads*

$$- \bullet A : \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V} \text{ and } A \bullet - : \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}$$

and isomorphisms of categories

$$\mathbf{GM}_A \simeq \mathcal{V}_{(-\bullet A;\mathbb{J})} \text{ and } {}_A\mathbf{GM} \simeq \mathcal{V}_{(-\bullet A;\mathbb{J})}.$$

2. *If B is a generalized $\mathcal{V}$-monoid, then we have $\mathbb{J}$-monads*

$$- \bullet A : \ {}_B\mathbf{GM} \longrightarrow \ {}_B\mathbf{GM} \text{ and } B \bullet - : \mathbf{GM}_A \longrightarrow \mathbf{GM}_A$$

and isomorphisms of categories

$$({}_B\mathbf{GM})_{(-\bullet A;\mathbb{J})} \simeq \ {}_B\mathbf{GM}_A \simeq (\mathbf{GM}_A)_{(B\bullet -;\mathbb{J})}.$$

Proof. Consider the following functors

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu &: ((- \bullet A) \bullet A) \longrightarrow - \bullet A, \ \mu_X : (X \bullet A) \bullet A \overset{\gamma_{X,A,A}}{\simeq} X \bullet (A \bullet A) \xrightarrow{X \bullet \mu} X \bullet A, \\
\nu &: \mathbb{J} \longrightarrow (- \bullet A), \ \nu_X : \mathbf{I} \bullet X \overset{\ell_X}{\simeq} X \bullet \mathbf{I} \xrightarrow{X \bullet \eta} X \bullet A.
\end{aligned}$$

One can easily check that $(- \bullet A, \mu, \omega, \nu)$ is a $\mathbb{J}$-monad. The isomorphism $\mathbf{GM}_A \simeq \mathcal{V}_{(-\bullet A;\mathbb{J})}$ follows immediately from comparing the corresponding diagrams. The other assertions can also be checked easily.■

Theorem 4.8. *Let $\mathbf{I}$ and $A \in \mathcal{V}$ be firm. There is a bijective correspondence between the generalized monoid (algebra) structures on A, the $\mathbb{J}$-monad structures on $- \bullet A$ and the $\mathbb{J}$-monad structures on $A \bullet -$.*

Proof. Assume that $(- \bullet A, \mu, \omega, \nu)$ is a $\mathbb{J}$-monad and consider

$$\begin{aligned}
\mu &: A \bullet A \xrightarrow{\omega_A \bullet A} \mathbf{I} \bullet A \bullet A \xrightarrow{\mu_{\mathbf{I}}} \mathbf{I} \bullet A \overset{\lambda_A}{\simeq} A; \\
\eta &: \mathbf{I} \xrightarrow{\omega_{\mathbf{I}}} \mathbf{I} \bullet \mathbf{I} \xrightarrow{\nu_{\mathbf{I}}} \mathbf{I} \bullet A \overset{\lambda_A}{\simeq} A.
\end{aligned}$$

Clearly, (A, μ, η) is a generalized monoid. The converse follow by Proposition 4.7. The bijective correspondence follows immediately from the definitions of the morphisms involved. The statement corresponding to the endo-functor $A \bullet -$ can be proved analogously. Proving the bijective correspondence is not difficult to obtain.■

Generalized Comonoids

4.9. A *generalized $\mathcal{V}$-comonoid* (*generalized $\mathcal{V}$-coalgebra*) is a datum (C, Δ, ε) where $C \in \mathcal{V}$, $\Delta : C \longrightarrow C \bullet C$, $\varepsilon : C \longrightarrow \mathbf{I}$ are morphisms in $\mathcal{V}$ such that the following diagrams are commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C \arrow[r, "\Delta"] \arrow[d, "\Delta"'] & C \bullet C \arrow[d, "\Delta \bullet C"] \\
C \bullet C \arrow[r, "C \bullet \Delta"'] & C \bullet C \bullet C
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
C \bullet C \arrow[d, "\varepsilon \bullet C"'] & C \arrow[l, "\Delta"'] \arrow[r, "\Delta"] \arrow[d, "\omega_C"] & C \bullet C \arrow[d, "C \bullet \varepsilon"] \\
\mathbf{I} \bullet C \arrow[r, equal] & \mathbf{I} \bullet C & C \bullet \mathbf{I} \arrow[l, "\wp_C"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

A *morphism of generalized $\mathcal{V}$-comonoids* (*generalized $\mathcal{V}$-coalgebras*) $f : (C, \Delta, \varepsilon) \longrightarrow (C', \Delta', \varepsilon')$ is a morphism in $\mathcal{V}$ such that the following diagrams are commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
C \arrow[r, "\Delta"] \arrow[d, "f"'] & C \bullet C \arrow[d, "f \bullet f"] \\
C' \arrow[r, "\Delta'"'] & C' \bullet C'
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
C \arrow[r, "\varepsilon"] \arrow[d, "f"'] & \mathbf{I} \arrow[d, equal] \\
C' \arrow[r, "\varepsilon'"'] & \mathbf{I}
\end{tikzcd}$$

The category of generalized $\mathcal{V}$-comonoids is denoted by $\mathbf{GComon}(\mathcal{V})$. If ζ is a braiding on $\mathcal{V}$, then we say that a generalized $\mathcal{V}$-comonoid (C, Δ, ε) is *ζ-cocommutative* iff $\zeta_{C,C} \circ \Delta = \Delta_C$. With ζ-$\mathbf{cGComon}(\mathcal{V})$ we denote the full subcategory of $\mathbf{GComon}(\mathcal{V})$ whose objects are the ζ-cocommutative $\mathcal{V}$-comonoids.

Example 4.10. $\mathbf{I}$ is generalized $\mathcal{V}$-comonoid with

$$\Delta_{\mathbf{I}} : \mathbf{I} \xrightarrow{\omega_{\mathbf{I}}} \mathbf{I} \bullet \mathbf{I} \text{ and } \varepsilon_{\mathbf{I}} : \mathbf{I} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{id}} \mathbf{I}.$$

4.11. Let (C, Δ, ε) be a generalized $\mathcal{V}$-comonoid. A *right generalized C-comodule* is a datum (M, ϱ^M) where $M \in \mathcal{V}$ and $\varrho^M : M \longrightarrow M \bullet C$ are morphisms in $\mathcal{V}$ such that the following diagrams are commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M \arrow[r, "\varrho^M"] \arrow[d, "\varrho^M"'] & M \bullet C \arrow[d, "\varrho^M \bullet C"] \\
M \bullet C \arrow[r, "M \bullet \Delta_C"'] & M \bullet C \bullet C
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
M \arrow[r, "\varrho^M"] \arrow[d, "\omega_M"'] & M \bullet C \arrow[d, "M \bullet \varepsilon_C"] \\
\mathbf{I} \bullet M & M \bullet \mathbf{I} \arrow[l, "\wp_M"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

A *morphism of right generalized C-comodules* is a morphism $f : M \longrightarrow M'$ in $\mathcal{V}$ such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M \arrow[r, "\varrho^{M}"] \arrow[d, "f"'] & M \bullet C \arrow[d, "f \bullet C"] \\
M' \arrow[r, "\varrho^{M'}"'] & M' \bullet C
\end{tikzcd}$$

The category of right generalized C-comodules is denoted by $\mathbf{GM}^{C}$. The category of *left generalized C-comodules* is denoted by ${}^{C}\mathbf{GM}$.

4.12. Let C be a $\mathcal{V}$-comonoid and M a right generalized C-comodule. Then we have a functor

$$- \bullet M : \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathbf{GM}^{C},$$

where for any $X \in \mathcal{V}$, we have a structure of a right generalized C-comodule on $X \bullet M$ given by

$$\varrho^{X \bullet M} : X \bullet M \overset{X \bullet \varrho^{M}}{\longrightarrow} X \bullet (M \bullet C) \overset{\gamma^{-1}_{X,M,C}}{\longrightarrow} (X \bullet M) \bullet C.$$

Similarly, if M is a left generalized C-comodule, then we have a functor $M \bullet - : \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow {}^{C}\mathbf{GM}$.

4.13. Consider generalized $\mathcal{V}$-comonoids C, D. Let M be a left generalized D-comodule as well as a right generalized C-comodule and consider the right generalized C-comodule $D \bullet M$ and the left generalized D-comodule $M \bullet C$. We say that M is a *generalized* (D, C)-*bicomodule* iff $\varrho^{(M;D)} : M \longrightarrow D \bullet M$ is a morphism of right generalized C-comodules (equivalently, $\varrho^{(M;C)} : M \longrightarrow M \bullet C$ is a morphism of left generalized D-comodules). The category of generalized (D, C)-bicomodules with morphisms being simultaneously morphisms of left generalized D-comodules and morphisms of right generalized C-comodules is denote by ${}^{D}\mathbf{GM}^{C}$. Indeed, every generalized $\mathcal{V}$-comonoid C is a generalized (C, C)-bicomodule in a canonical way.

Proposition 4.14. *Let $F : (\mathcal{V}, \bullet, \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{V}}) \longrightarrow (\mathcal{W}, \otimes, \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{W}})$ be a generalized op-monoidal functor. Then we have a functor*

$$\widetilde{F} : \mathbf{GCMon}(\mathcal{V}) \longrightarrow \mathbf{GCMon}(\mathcal{W}),\ C \longmapsto F(C).$$

Proof. Let $(C, \Delta_C, \varepsilon_C)$ be a generalized $\mathcal{V}$-comonoid ($\mathcal{V}$-coalgebra) and consider $D := F(C)$. Define

$$\begin{aligned}
\Delta_D &: F(C) \overset{F(\Delta)}{\longrightarrow} F(C \bullet C) \overset{\phi_{C,C}}{\longrightarrow} F(C) \otimes F(C); \\
\varepsilon_D &: F(C) \overset{F(\varepsilon_C)}{\longrightarrow} F(\mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{V}}) \overset{\delta}{\longrightarrow} \mathbf{I}_{\mathcal{W}}.
\end{aligned}$$

One checks easily that $(D, \Delta_D, \varepsilon_D)$ is a generalized $\mathcal{W}$-comonoid ($\mathcal{W}$-coalgebra). If $f : C \longrightarrow C'$ is a morphism of $\mathcal{V}$-comonoids (coalgebras) in $\mathcal{V}$, then following the involved diagrams shows that $F(f) : F(C) \longrightarrow F(C')$ is a morphism of $\mathcal{W}$-comonoids ($\mathcal{W}$-coalgebras).■

Proposition 4.15. *Let (C, Δ, ε) be a generalized $\mathcal{V}$-comonoid.*

1. *We have $\mathbb{J}$-comonads*

$$- \bullet C : \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V} \text{ and } C \bullet - : \mathcal{V} \longrightarrow \mathcal{V}$$

and isomorphisms of categories

$$\mathbf{GM}^C \simeq \mathcal{V}^{(- \bullet C ; \mathbb{J})} \text{ and } {}^C\mathbf{GM} \simeq \mathcal{V}^{(- \bullet C ; \mathbb{J})}.$$

2. *If D is a generalized $\mathcal{V}$-comonoid, then we have $\mathbb{J}$-comonads*

$$- \bullet C : {}^D\mathbf{GM} \longrightarrow {}^D\mathbf{GM} \text{ and } D \bullet - : \mathbf{GM}^C \longrightarrow \mathbf{GM}^C$$

and isomorphisms of categories

$$({}^D\mathbf{GM})^{(- \bullet C ; \mathbb{J})} \simeq {}^D\mathbf{GM}^C \simeq (\mathbf{GM}^C)^{(D \bullet - ; \mathbb{J})}.$$

Proof. Consider the following functors

$$\begin{aligned} \boldsymbol{\Delta} &: - \bullet C \longrightarrow ((- \bullet C) \bullet C), \ \boldsymbol{\Delta}_X : X \bullet C \xrightarrow{X \bullet \Delta} X \bullet (C \bullet C) \overset{\gamma^{-1}_{X,C,C}}{\simeq} (X \bullet C) \bullet C, \\ \varepsilon &: (- \bullet C) \longrightarrow \mathbb{J}, \ \varepsilon_C : X \bullet C \overset{X \bullet \varepsilon}{\simeq} X \bullet \mathbf{I} \overset{\wp_X}{\simeq} \mathbf{I} \bullet X. \end{aligned}$$

One can easily check that $(- \bullet C, \boldsymbol{\Delta}, \omega, \varepsilon)$ is a $\mathbb{J}$-comonad. The isomorphism $\mathbf{GM}^C \simeq \mathcal{V}^{(- \bullet C ; \mathbb{J})}$ follows immediately from comparing the corresponding diagrams. The other assertions can also be easily verified.■

Theorem 4.16. *Let I and $C \in \mathcal{V}$ be firm. There is a bijective correspondence between the generalized comonoid (coalgebra) structures on C, the $\mathbb{J}$-comonad structures on $- \bullet C$ and the $\mathbb{J}$-comonad structures on $C \bullet -$.*

Proof. Assume that $(- \bullet C, \boldsymbol{\Delta}, \varepsilon; \omega)$ is a $\mathbb{J}$-comonad and consider

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta &: C \xrightarrow{\omega_C} \mathbf{I} \bullet C \xrightarrow{\boldsymbol{\Delta}_{\mathbf{I}}} \mathbf{I} \bullet C \bullet C \overset{\lambda_{C \bullet C}}{\simeq} C \bullet C; \\ \varepsilon &: C \xrightarrow{\omega_C} \mathbf{I} \bullet C \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_{\mathbf{I}}} \mathbf{I} \bullet \mathbf{I} \overset{\lambda_{\mathbf{I}}}{\simeq} \mathbf{I}. \end{aligned}$$

Clearly, (C, Δ, ε) is a generalized comonoid. The converse follows by Proposition 4.15. The bijective correspondence follows immediately from the definitions of the morphisms involved. The statement corresponding to the endo-functor $C \bullet -$ can be proved analogously. Proving the bijective correspondence is not difficult to obtain.■

Proposition 4.17. *Let* (C, Δ, ε) *be a generalized comonoid and* (A, μ, η) *a generalized monoid. Then* $(\mathcal{V}(C, A), *, e)$ *is a generalized monoid in* **Set** *with multiplication and semi-unit given by*

$$f * g := \mu \circ (f \bullet g) \circ \Delta \text{ and } \mathfrak{e} := \eta \circ \varepsilon.$$

Proposition 4.18. *Let* $\varphi : (C, \Delta_C, \varepsilon_C) \longrightarrow (D, \Delta_D, \varepsilon_D)$ *be a morphism of generalized comonoids and* $\psi : (A, \mu_A, \eta_A) \longrightarrow (B, \mu_B, \eta_B)$ *be a morphism of generalized monoids. Then*

$$\mathcal{V}(D, A) \xrightarrow{<-,A>} \mathcal{V}(C, A),\ f \longmapsto f \circ \varphi \text{ and } \mathcal{V}(C, A) \xrightarrow{<C,->} \mathcal{V}(C, B),\ g \longmapsto \psi \circ g$$

are morphisms of generalized monoids in **Set**. *In particular, we have functors*

$$\mathcal{V}(-, A) : \mathbf{GCMon}_{\mathcal{V}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{GMon} \text{ and } \mathcal{V}(C, -) : \mathbf{GMon}_{\mathcal{V}} \longrightarrow \mathbf{GMon}.$$

5 A concrete example

In this section we give applications to the category of bisemimodules over a base semialgebra.

Semirings and semimodules

For the convenience of the reader and to make the manuscript self-contained, we begin this section by recalling some *basic* definitions and results on semirings and their semimodules.

Definition 5.1. A *semiring* is an algebraic structure $(S, +, \cdot, 0, 1)$ consisting of a non-empty set S with two binary operations "+" (addition) and "$\cdot$" (multiplication) satisfying the following axioms:

1. $(S, +, 0)$ is a commutative monoid with neutral element 0_S;
2. $(S, \cdot, 1)$ is a monoid with neutral element 1;
3. $x \cdot (y + z) = x \cdot y + x \cdot z$ and $(y + z) \cdot x = y \cdot x + z \cdot x$ for all $x, y, z \in S$;
4. $0 \cdot s = 0 = s \cdot 0$ for every $s \in S$ (*i.e.* 0 is *absorbing*).

5.2. Let S, S' be semirings. A map $f : S \longrightarrow S'$ is said to be a *morphism of semirings* iff for all $s_1, s_2 \in S$:

$$f(s_1 + s_2) = f(s_1) + f(s_2),\ f(s_1 s_2) = f(s_1) f(s_2),\ f(0_S) = 0_{S'} \text{ and } f(1_S) = 1_{S'}.$$

The category of semirings is denoted by **SRng**.

5.3. Let $(S, +, \cdot)$ be a semiring. We say that S is
cancellative iff the additive semigroup $(S, +)$ is cancellative;
commutative iff the multiplicative semigroup $(S, \cdot)$ is commutative;
semifield iff $(S \backslash \{0\}, \cdot, 1)$ is a commutative group.

Examples 5.4. Rings are indeed semirings. The first natural example of a (*commutative*) semiring which is not a ring is $(\mathbb{N}_0, +, \cdot)$, the set of non-negative integers. The semirings $(\mathbb{R}_0^+, +, \cdot)$ and $(\mathbb{Q}_0^+, +, \cdot)$ are indeed semifields. For any associative ring R we have a semiring structure $(\mathrm{Ideal}(R), +, \cdot)$ on the set $\mathrm{Ideal}(R)$ of (two-sided) ideals of R. For more examples, the reader may refer to [Gol1999a]. In the sequel, we always assume that $0_S \neq 1_S$.

Definition 5.5. Let S be a semiring. A *right S-semimodule* is an algebraic structure $(M, +, 0_M)$ consisting of a non-empty set M, a binary operation "+" along with a right S-action

$$M \times S \longrightarrow M, \ (m, s) \mapsto ms,$$

such that:

1. $(M, +, 0_M)$ is a commutative monoid with neutral element 0_M;
2. $(ms)s' = m(ss')$, $(m + m')s = ms + m's$ and $m(s + s') = ms + ms'$ for all $s, s' \in S$ and $m, m' \in M$;
3. $m1_S = m$ and $m0_S = 0_M = 0_M s$ for all $m \in M$ and $s \in S$.

5.6. Let M, M' be right S-semimodules. A map $f : M \longrightarrow M'$ is said to be a *morphism of S-semimodules* (or *S-linear*) iff for all $m_1, m_2 \in M$ and $s \in S$:

$$f(m_1 + m_2) = f(m_1) + f(m_2) \text{ and } f(ms) = f(m)s.$$

The set $\mathrm{Hom}_S(M, M')$ of S-linear maps from M to M' is clearly a commutative monoid under addition. The category of right S-semimodules is denoted by $\mathbb{S}_S$. Analogously, one can define the category ${}_S\mathbb{S}$ of left S-semimodules. A right (left) S-semimodule is said to be *cancellative* iff the semigroup $(M, +)$ is cancellative. With $\mathbb{CS}_S \subseteq \mathbb{S}_S$ (resp. ${}_S\mathbb{CS} \subseteq {}_S\mathbb{S}$) we denote the full subcategory of cancellative right (left) S-semimodules. For two semirings S, T, an (S, T)-bisemimodule M has a structure of a left S-semimodule and a right T-semimodule such that $(sm)t = s(mt)$ for all $m \in M$, $s \in S$ and $t \in T$. The category of (S, T)-bisemimodules and S-linear T-linear maps is denoted by ${}_S\mathbb{S}_T$; the subcategory of cancellative (S, T)-bisemimodules is denoted by ${}_S\mathbb{CS}_T$.

5.7. Let M be a right S-semimodule. An S-congruence on M is an equivalence relation $\equiv$ such that

$$m_1 \equiv m_2 \Rightarrow m_1 s + m \equiv m_2 s + m \text{ for all } m_1, m_2, m \in M \text{ and } s \in S.$$

In particular, we have an S-congruence relation $\equiv_{[0]}$ on M defined by

$$m \equiv_{[0]} \ m' \iff m + m'' = m' + m'' \text{ for some } m'' \in M.$$

The quotient S-semimodule $M/\equiv_{[0]}$ is indeed cancellative and we have a canonical surjection $\mathfrak{c}_M : M \longrightarrow \mathfrak{c}(M)$, where $\mathfrak{c}(M) := M/\equiv_{[0]}$, with

$$\mathrm{Ker}(\mathfrak{c}_M) = \{m \in M \mid m + m'' = m'' \text{ for some } m'' \in M\}.$$

The class of cancellative right S-semimodules is a *reflective* subcategory of $\mathbb{S}_S$ in the sense that the functor $\mathfrak{c} : \mathbb{S}_S \longrightarrow \mathbb{CS}_S$ is left adjoint to the embedding functor $\mathbb{CS}_S \hookrightarrow \mathbb{S}_S$, *i.e.* for any S-semimodule M and any *cancellative* S-semimodule N we have a natural isomorphism of commutative monoids $\mathrm{Hom}_S(\mathfrak{c}(M), N) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}_S(M, N)$ [Tak1981].

Takahashi's Tensor Product

5.8. Let M_S be a right S-semimodule, ${}_SN$ a left S-semimodule and consider the free S-semimodule F with base $M \times N$. Let $N(M) \subseteq F \times F$ the *symmetric* S-subsemimodule generated by the set of elements of the form

$$\begin{array}{cc}(\delta_{(m_1+m_2,n)}, \delta_{(m_1,n)} + \delta_{(m_2,n)}), & (\delta_{(m_1,n)} + \delta_{(m_2,n)}, \delta_{(m_1+m_2,n)}),\\ (\delta_{(m,n_1+n_2)}, \delta_{(m,n_1)} + \delta_{(m,n_2)}), & (\delta_{(m,n_1)} + \delta_{(m,n_2)}, \delta_{(m,n_1+n_2)}),\\ (\delta_{(ms,n)}, \delta_{(m,sn)}), & (\delta_{(m,sn)}, \delta_{(ms,n)}),\end{array}$$

where

$$\delta_{m,n}(m,n) = \begin{cases} 1, & m = n \\ \\ 0, & m \neq n. \end{cases}$$

Let $\equiv$ be the S-congruence relation on F defined by

$$f \equiv f' \iff f + g = f' + g' \text{ for some } g, g' \in N(M).$$

Takahashi's tensor product of M and N is defined as $M \boxtimes_S N := F/\equiv$. Notice that there is an *S-balanced map*

$$\widetilde{\tau} : M \times N \longrightarrow M \boxtimes_S N,\ (m,n) \mapsto m \boxtimes_S n := (m,n)/\equiv$$

with the following universal property [Tak1982a]: for every commutative monoid G and every S-bilinear S-balanced map $\beta : M \times N \longrightarrow G$ there exists a *unique* morphism of monoids $\gamma : M \boxtimes_S N \longrightarrow \mathfrak{c}(G)$ such that we have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{tikzcd} M \times N \arrow[r, "\beta"] \arrow[d, "\tau"'] & G \arrow[d, "\mathfrak{c}_G"] \\ M \boxtimes_S N \arrow[r, dotted, "\gamma"'] & \mathfrak{c}(G) \end{tikzcd} \tag{4}$$

The following result collects some properties of $- \boxtimes_S -$ (compare with [Gol1999a, Proposition 16.15, 16.16]):

Proposition 5.9. *Let M be a right S-semimodule and N a left S-semimodule.*

1. *$M \boxtimes_S N$ is a* cancellative *commutative monoid.*

2. *We have a natural isomorphism of functors*

$$- \boxtimes_S S \simeq \mathfrak{c}(-) : \mathbb{S}_S \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}_S \text{ and } S \boxtimes_S - \simeq \mathfrak{c}(-) : {}_S\mathbb{S} \longrightarrow {}_S\mathbb{S}.$$

3. *M_S (${}_SN$) is cancellative if and only if $\mathfrak{c}(M) \simeq M$ ($\mathfrak{c}(N) \simeq N$).*

4. *We have idempotent functors*

$$\mathbb{J} : S \boxtimes_S - : {}_S\mathbb{S} \longrightarrow {}_S\mathbb{S} \text{ and } \mathbb{K} := - \boxtimes_T T : \mathbb{S}_T \longrightarrow \mathbb{S}_T. \tag{5}$$

   In particular, $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{c}(M)) \simeq \mathfrak{c}(M)$ and $\mathfrak{c}(\mathfrak{c}(N)) \simeq \mathfrak{c}(N)$.

5. *We have natural isomorphisms of commutative monoids*

$$\mathfrak{c}(M) \boxtimes_S N \simeq \mathfrak{c}(M) \boxtimes_S \mathfrak{c}(N) \simeq M \boxtimes_S \mathfrak{c}(N) \simeq M \boxtimes_S N \simeq \mathfrak{c}(M \boxtimes_S N). \tag{6}$$

Proposition 5.10. *Let S, T be semirings, M a right S-semimodule, N an (S, T)-bisemimodule and consider the endo-functors $\mathbb{J}$ and $\mathbb{K}$ in (5). Then $(- \boxtimes_S N, \mathrm{Hom}_{-T}(N, -))$ is a $(\mathbb{J}, \mathbb{K})$-adjoint pair.*

Proof. For every right T-semimodule G we have natural isomorphisms

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \mathrm{Hom}_{-T}(\mathbb{J}(M) \boxtimes_S N), \mathbb{K}(G)) & \simeq & \mathrm{Hom}_{-T}(\mathfrak{c}(M) \boxtimes_S N), \mathfrak{c}(G)) \\ & \simeq & \mathrm{Hom}_{-T}(M \boxtimes_S N, \mathfrak{c}(G)) \\ & \simeq & \mathrm{Hom}_{-S}(M, \mathrm{Hom}_{-T}(N, \mathfrak{c}(G))) \\ & \simeq & \mathrm{Hom}_{-S}(\mathfrak{c}(M), \mathrm{Hom}_{-T}(N, \mathfrak{c}(G))) \\ & \simeq & \mathrm{Hom}_{-S}(\mathbb{J}(M), \mathrm{Hom}_{-T}(N, \mathbb{K}(G))).\blacksquare \end{array}$$

Theorem 5.11. *Let A be a $\mathcal{V}$-monoid and consider the* idempotent *endo-functor*

$$\mathfrak{c}(-) : {}_A\mathbb{S}_A \longrightarrow {}_A\mathbb{S}_A, \ M \longmapsto M/\equiv_{[0]} .$$

1. *$({}_A\mathbb{S}_A, \boxtimes, A)$ is a closed generalized monoidal variety.*

2. *$({}_A\mathbb{CS}_A, \boxtimes, \mathfrak{c}(A))$ is a closed monoidal variety.*

Generalized Semirings and Generalized Semimodules

In what follows, S denotes a commutative semiring, A is an S-*semialgebra* (*i.e.* a semiring with a morphism of semirings $\iota_A : S \longrightarrow A$), ${}_AS_A$ is the variety of (A, A)-bisemimodules and ${}_A\mathbb{CS}_A$ is the full subcategory of cancellative (A, A)-bisemimodules.

5.12. By a *generalized A-semiring* we mean an (A,A)-bisemimodule $\mathcal{A}$ associated with (A,A)-bilinear maps $\mu_{\mathcal{A}} : \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_A \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ and $\eta_{\mathcal{A}} : A \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}$ such that the following diagrams are commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_A \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_A \mathcal{A} \arrow[r, "\mu_{\mathcal{A}} \boxtimes_A \mathcal{A}"] \arrow[d, "\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_A \mu_{\mathcal{A}}"'] & \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_A \mathcal{A} \arrow[d, "\mu_{\mathcal{A}}"] \\
\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_A \mathcal{A} \arrow[r, "\mu_{\mathcal{A}}"'] & \mathcal{A}
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_A \mathcal{A} \arrow[r, "\mu_{\mathcal{A}}"] & \mathcal{A} \arrow[d, "\mathfrak{c}_A"'] & \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_A \mathcal{A} \arrow[l, "\mu_{\mathcal{A}}"'] \\
A \boxtimes_A \mathcal{A} \arrow[u, "\eta_{\mathcal{A}} \boxtimes_A \mathcal{A}"] \arrow[r, "\vartheta^l_{\mathcal{A}}"'] & \mathfrak{c}(\mathcal{A}) & \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_A A \arrow[u, "\mathcal{A} \boxtimes_A \eta_{\mathcal{A}}"'] \arrow[l, "\vartheta^r_{\mathcal{A}}"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Let $\mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{A}'$ be generalized A-semirings. An (A,A)-bilinear map $f : \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow \mathcal{A}'$ is called a *morphism of generalized A-semirings* iff

$$f \circ \mu_{\mathcal{A}} = \mu_{\mathcal{A}'} \circ (f \boxtimes_A f) \text{ and } f \circ \eta_{\mathcal{A}} = \eta_{\mathcal{A}'}.$$

The set of morphisms of generalized A-semirings form $\mathcal{A}$ to $\mathcal{A}'$ is denoted by $\mathrm{GSRng}_A(\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{A}')$. The category of generalized A-semirings will be denoted by $\mathbf{GSRng}_A$. Indeed, we have an isomorphism of categories $\mathbf{GSRng}_A \simeq \mathbf{GMonoid}({}_A\mathbb{S}_A)$.

5.13. Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a generalized A-semiring. A right generalized $\mathcal{A}$-semimodule is a right A-semimodule with a right A-linear map $\varrho_M : M \boxtimes_A \mathcal{A} \longrightarrow M$ such that the following diagrams are commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M \boxtimes_A \mathcal{A} \boxtimes_A \mathcal{A} \arrow[r, "\varrho_M \boxtimes_A \mathcal{A}"] \arrow[d, "M \boxtimes_A \mu_{\mathcal{A}}"'] & M \boxtimes_A \mathcal{A} \arrow[d, "\varrho_M"] \\
M \boxtimes_A \mathcal{A} \arrow[r, "\varrho_M"'] & M
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
M \boxtimes_A A \arrow[r, "M \boxtimes_A \eta_{\mathcal{A}}"] \arrow[d, "\vartheta^r_M"'] & M \boxtimes_A \mathcal{A} \arrow[d, "\varrho_M"] \\
\mathfrak{c}(M) & M \arrow[l, "\mathfrak{c}_M"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

A morphism of right generalized $\mathcal{A}$-semimodules is an A-linear map $f : M \longrightarrow M'$ such that the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M \boxtimes_A \mathcal{A} \arrow[r, "\varrho_M"] \arrow[d, "f \boxtimes_A \mathcal{A}"'] & M \arrow[d, "f"] \\
M' \boxtimes_A \mathcal{A} \arrow[r, "\varrho_{M'}"'] & M'
\end{tikzcd}$$

The category of right generalized $\mathcal{A}$-semimodules is denoted by $\mathbb{GS}_{\mathcal{A}}$. Analogously, one can define the category ${}_{\mathcal{A}}\mathbb{GS}$ of *left generalized $\mathcal{A}$-semimodules.*

Generalized Semicorings and Generalized Semicomodules

5.14. A *generalized A-semicoring* is an (A,A)-bisemimodule associated with (A,A)-bilinear maps $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_A \mathcal{C}$ and $\varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}} : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow A$ such that the following diagrams are commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{C} \arrow[rr, "\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}"] \arrow[d, "\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}"'] & & \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_A \mathcal{C} \arrow[d, "\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_A \Delta_{\mathcal{C}}"] \\
\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_A \mathcal{C} \arrow[rr, "\Delta_{\mathcal{C}} \boxtimes_A \mathcal{C}"'] & & \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_A \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_A \mathcal{C}
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_A \mathcal{C} \arrow[d, "\varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}} \boxtimes_A \mathcal{C}"'] & \mathcal{C} \arrow[l, "\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}"'] \arrow[r, "\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}"] \arrow[d, "\mathfrak{c}_{\mathcal{C}}"'] & \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_A \mathcal{C} \arrow[d, "\mathcal{C} \boxtimes_A \varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}}"] \\
A \boxtimes_A \mathcal{C} \arrow[r, "\vartheta^l_{\mathcal{C}}"'] & \mathfrak{c}(\mathcal{C}) & \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_A A \arrow[l, "\vartheta^r_{\mathcal{C}}"]
\end{tikzcd}
\tag{7}$$

The map $\Delta_{\mathcal{C}}$ $(\varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}})$ is called the *comultiplication* (*counity*) of $\mathcal{C}$. Using Sweedler-Heyneman's notation, we have for every $c \in \mathcal{C}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum c_{11} \boxtimes_A c_{12} \boxtimes_A c_2 &= \sum c_1 \boxtimes_A c_{21} \boxtimes_A c_{22}; \\
\mathfrak{c}(\sum c_1 \varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}}(c_2)) &= \mathfrak{c}_M(c) = \mathfrak{c}(\sum \varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}}(c_1) c_2).
\end{aligned}$$

Let $(\mathcal{C}, \Delta, \varepsilon)$, $(\mathcal{C}', \Delta', \varepsilon')$ be two generalized A-semicorings. We call an (A,A)-bilinear map $f : \mathcal{C} \longrightarrow \mathcal{C}'$ a *morphism of generalized A-semicorings* iff

$$(f \boxtimes_A f) \circ \Delta_{\mathcal{C}} = \Delta_{\mathcal{C}'} \circ f \text{ and } \varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}'} \circ f = \varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}}.$$

The set of generalized A-semicoring morphisms from $\mathcal{C}$ to $\mathcal{C}'$ is denoted by $\mathrm{GSCog}_A(\mathcal{C}, \mathcal{C}')$. The category of generalized A-semicorings is denoted by $\mathbf{GSCrng}_A$. Indeed, we have an isomorphism of categories $\mathbf{GSCrng}_A \simeq \mathbf{GCMonoid}({}_A\mathbb{S}_A)$.

5.15. Let $(\mathcal{C}, \Delta, \varepsilon)$ be a generalized A-semicoring. A *right generalized $\mathcal{C}$-semicomodule* is a right A-semimodule M associated with an A-linear map

$$\varrho^M : M \longrightarrow M \boxtimes_A \mathcal{C},\ m \mapsto \sum m_{<0>} \boxtimes_A m_{<1>},$$

such that the following diagrams are commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M \arrow[rr, "\varrho_M"] \arrow[d, "\varrho_M"'] & & M \boxtimes_A \mathcal{C} \arrow[d, "M \boxtimes_A \Delta_{\mathcal{C}}"] \\
M \boxtimes_A \mathcal{C} \arrow[rr, "\varrho_M \boxtimes_A \mathcal{C}"'] & & M \boxtimes_A \mathcal{C} \boxtimes_A \mathcal{C}
\end{tikzcd}
\qquad
\begin{tikzcd}
M \arrow[rr, "\varrho_M"] \arrow[d, "\mathfrak{c}_M"'] & & M \boxtimes_A \mathcal{C} \arrow[d, "M \boxtimes_A \varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}}"] \\
\mathfrak{c}(M) & & M \boxtimes_A A \arrow[ll, "\vartheta^r_M"]
\end{tikzcd}$$

Using Sweedler-Heyneman's notation, we have for every $m \in M$:

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum m_{<0>} \boxtimes_A m_{<1>1} \boxtimes_A m_{<1>2} &= \sum m_{<0><0>} \boxtimes_A m_{<0><1>} \boxtimes_A m_{<1>}; \\
\mathfrak{c}(\sum m_{<0>} \varepsilon_{\mathcal{C}}(m_{<1>})) &= \mathfrak{c}_M(m).
\end{aligned}$$

For right generalized $\mathcal{C}$-comodules M, M', we call an A-linear map $f : M \longrightarrow M'$ a *morphism of right $\mathcal{C}$-semicomodules* (or *$\mathcal{C}$-colinear*) iff the following diagram is commutative

$$\begin{tikzcd}
M \arrow[r, "f"] \arrow[d, "\varrho_M"'] & N \arrow[d, "\varrho_N"] \\
M \boxtimes_A \mathcal{C} \arrow[r, "f \boxtimes_A \mathcal{C}"'] & N \boxtimes_A \mathcal{C}
\end{tikzcd}$$

We denote by $\mathrm{Hom}^{\mathcal{C}}(M, M')$ the set of $\mathcal{C}$-colinear maps from M to M'. The category of counital right generalized $\mathcal{C}$-semicomodules and $\mathcal{C}$-colinear maps is denoted by $\mathbf{GSComd}^{\mathcal{C}}$. Analogously we define the category ${}^{\mathcal{C}}\mathbf{GSComd}$ of left generalized $\mathcal{C}$-semicomodules.

Acknowledgement: The author thanks Prof. Robert Wisbauer for the fruitful discussions on monads and comonads during his visit to the University of Düsseldorf in Summer 2009. He also thanks *Deutsche Akademische Austausch Dienst* (DAAD) for supporting that visit.

References

[Abu2008] J. Abuhlail, *Semicorings and semicomodules,* Talk at the conference: Non-Commutative Structures in Mathematics and Physics, July 22-26, 2008, Brussels, Belgium (slides available at http://www.ingvet.kau.se/juerfuch/conf/nomap/talk/Abuhlail.pdf).

[Abu2003] J. Abuhlail, *Rational modules for corings*, Comm. Algebra 31 (2003), 5793–5840.

[AP2001] J. Adámek and H.-E. Porst, *From varieties of algebras to covarieties of coalgebras*, Elec. Not. Theor. Comp. Sci. 44 (1) (2001), 185–204.

[Bec2003] J. M. Beck, *Triples, algebras and cohomology*, Reprints in Theory Appl. Categ. 2 (2003), 1–59.

[BBW2009] G. Böhm, T. Brzeziński and R. Wisbauer, *Monads and comonads on module categories,* J. Algebra 322 (5) (2009), 1719–1747.

[BV2007] A. Bruguières and A. Virelizier, *Hopf monads*, Adv. Math. 215 (2) (2007), 679–733.

[BS2000] F. Borceux and I. Stubbe, *Short introduction to enriched categories*, Current research in operational quantum logic, 167–194, Fund. Theories Phys., 111, Kluwer Acad. Publ., Dordrecht (2000).

[BW2003] T. Brzeziński and R. Wisbauer, *Corings and Comodules*, Lond. Math. Soc. Lec. Not. Ser. 309, Cambridge University Press (2003).

[BW2005] M. Barr and C. Wells, *Toposes, triples and theories*; Corrected reprint of the 1985 original, Reprints in Theory Appl. Categ. 12 (2005).

[CLS2010] D. Chikhladze, S. Lack and R. Street, *Hopf monoidal comonads*, Theory Appl. Categ. 24 (19) (2010), 554–563.

[CMZ2002] S. Caenepeel, G. Militaru and S. Zhu, *Frobenius and Separable Functors for Generalized Module Categories and Nonlinear Equations*, Lecture Notes in Mathematics 1787. Springer-Verlag, Berlin (2002).

[Ded1894] R. Dedekind, *Über die Theorie der ganzen algebraiscen Zahlen.* Supplement XI to P. G. Dirichlet, L.: *Vorlesung über Zahlentheorie.* 4 Aufl., Druck and Verlag, Braunschweig (1894).

[Dur2007] N. Durov, *New Approach to Arakelov Geometry,* Ph.D. dissertation, Universität Bonn – Germany (2007); available as arXiv:0704.2030v1.

[EM1965] E. Eilenberg and J. C. Moore, *Adjoint functors and triples,* Illinois J. Math. 9 (1965), 381-398.

[God1958] R. Godement, *Topologie algébrique et théorie des faisceaux.* (French) Actualit'es Sci. Ind. 1252. Publ. Math. Univ. Strasbourg. 13 Hermann, Paris (1958).

[Gol1999a] J. Golan, *Semirings and Their Applications*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht (1999).

[Gol1999b] J. Golan, *Power Algebras over Semirings. With Applications in Mathematics and Computer Science.* Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht (1999).

[Gol2003] J. Golan, *Semirings and Affine Equations over Them.* Kluwer, Dordrecht (2003).

[Gum1999] H. Peter Gumm, *Elements of the general theory of coalgebras*, 1999. Lecture Notes for LUATCS'99, Rand Africaans University, Johannesburg; available at http://www.mathematik.uni-marburg.de/˜gumm/Papers/publ.html

[GS2001] H. Peter Gumm and T. Schröder, *Monoid-labeled transition systems,* Elec. Not. Theor. Comp. Sci. 44 (1) (2001), 185–204.

[G-T2006] J. Gómez-Torrecillas, *Comonads and Galois corings*, Appl. Categ. Structures 14 (5–6) (2006), 579–598.

[HW1998] U. Hebisch and H. J. Weinert, *Semirings: Algebraic Theory and Applications in Computer Science*, World Scientific Publishing Co. (1998).

[JK2007] A. Joyal and J. Kock, *Weak units and homotopy* 3*-types*, Categories in algebra, geometry and mathematical physics, 257–276, Contemp. Math. 431 (2007), Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI.

[JL2005] P. Jakobsen and V. Lychagin, *Quantizations in a category of relations*, Lobachevskii J. Math. 17 (2005), 61–148.

[Kat2004] Y. Katsov, *On flat semimodules over semirings.* Algebra Universalis 51 (2-3) (2004), 287-299.

[KN2011] Y. Katsov, T. G. Nam, *Morita equivalence and homological characterization of rings*, J. Alg. Appl. 10 (3) (2011), 445–473.

[Koc2008] J. Kock, *Elementary remarks on units in monoidal categories*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc. 144 (1) (2008), 1, 53–76.

[KS1986] W. Kuich and A. Salomaa, *Semirings, Automata, Languages*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin (1986).

[LMS1999] G. L. Litvinov, V. P. Maslov and G.B. Shpiz, *Tensor products of idempotent semimodules. An algebraic approach.* Math. Notes 65 (3-4) (1999), 479-489.

[Mac1998] S. Mac Lane, *Categories for the Working Mathematician.* Second edition, Graduate Texts in Mathematics 5, Springer-Verlag (1998).

[Mes2008] B. Mesablishvili, *Entwining structures in monoidal categories*, J. Algebra 319 (6) (2008), 2496–2517.

[Moe2002] I. Moerdijk, *Monads on tensor categories*, J. Pure Appl. Algebra 168 (2-3) (2002), 189–208.

[MW2010] B. Mesablishvili and R. Wisbauer, *Galois functors and entwining structures*, J. Algebra 324 (3) (2010), 464–506.

[MW2011] B. Mesablishvili and R. Wisbauer, *Bimonads and Hopf monads on categories*, J. K-Theory 7 (2) (2011), 349–388.

[Pat2006] A. Patchkoria, *On exactness of long sequences of homology semimodules,* J. of Homotopy and Related Structures 1 (1) (2006), 229-249.

[Pat2009] A. Patchkoria, *Projective semimodules over semirings with valuations in nonnegative integers*, Semigroup Forum 79 (3) (2009), 451–460.

[Por2008-a] H.-E. Porst, *On categories of monoids, comonoids, and bimonoids*, Quaest. Math. 31 (2) (2008), 127–139.

[Por2008-b] H.-E. Porst, *Dual adjunctions between algebras and coalgebras*, Arab. J. Sci. Eng. Sect. C Theme Issues 33 (2) (2008), 407–411.

[PL2011] J. L. Peña and O. Lorscheid, *Mapping* $\mathbb{F}_1$*-land, an overview of geometries over the field with one element*, Noncommutative geometry, arithmetic, and related topics, 241–265, Johns Hopkins Univ. Press, Baltimore, MD (2011).

[Rut2000] J. Rutten, *Fundamental Study: Universal coalgebra: a theory of systems*, Theoretical Computer Science 249 (2000) 3–80.

[Swe1975] M. Sweedler, *The predual theorem to the Jacobson-Bourbaki theorem.* Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 213 (1975), 391-406.

[Swe1969] M. Sweedler, *Hopf Algebras*, New York: Benjamin (1969).

[Tak1981] M. Takahashi, *On the bordism categories. II.* Elementary properties of semimodules. Math. Sem. Notes Kobe Univ. 9 (2) (1981), 495–530.

[Tak1982a] M. Takahashi, *On the bordism categories. III.* Functors Hom and for semimodules. Math. Sem. Notes Kobe Univ. 10 (2) (1982), 551–562.

[Van1934] H. S. Vandiver, *Note on a simple type of algebra in which cancellation law of addition does not hold*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 40 (1934), 914–920.

[Wis2008-b] R. Wisbauer, *Algebras versus coalgebras*, Appl. Categ. Structures 16 (1–2) (2008), 255–295.